





# COLOMBIA Formal R-PP Presentation



. October 18, 2011, Berlin, Germany

Lucio Santos - Colombian REDD+ Focal Point

Isantos@minambiente.gov.co







## **OUTLINE**

- 1. Colombia in brief (very brief)
- 2. Draft Review... Starting point
  - Institutional Arrangements
  - Strategy
  - SESA
  - REL
  - Monitoring system including multiple benefits assessment
  - M&E Framework
- 3. R-PP Needs & wayforward





## Remember Colombia is Diverse by Nature...







## **Colombian Framework**

- Highly Diverse country (cultural and biological)
- Vulnerable local communities with low incomes and high dependence on natural resources
- Huge expectations on REDD+ or forest projects revenue
- Considerable misinformation on Climate Change and REDD+

We focus on early dialogue and participation process





## PREVIOUS R-PP VERSIONS REVIEW

## Overall summary

	May 2011	June 2011	
Component 1a	Standard partially met	Standard largely met	
Component 1b	Standard partially met	Standard met	
Component 1c	Standard partially met	Standard met	
Component 2a	Standard not met	Standard partially met	
Component 2b	Standard not met	Standard met	
Component 2c	Standard not met	Standard largely met	
Component 2d	Standard partially met	Standard largely met	
Component 3	Standard partially met	Standard partially met	
Component 4a	Standard not met	Standard not met	
Component 4b	Standard partially met	Standard partially met	
Component 5	Standard partially met	Standard partially met	
Component 6	Standard partially met	Standard partially met	





# REDD+ INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS Component 1a


Component	TAP Review Actual Status	
1a. REDD+ Institutional arrangements	Met	






## **REDD+ Institutional Arrangements**

National Climate Change System / Territorial Sub-commission















#### **INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS**

- Colombia NOW has a Climate Change Policy
- We MUST have the institutional structure created by January 2012 (By law!!!)
- National Planning Department,
   Agricultural Ministry and other public institutions engaged
- This arrangements seem complex, but it reflects the diversity of our country





## STRATEGY OPTIONS Component 2a, 2b & 2c

Component	TAP Review Actual status	
2a. Assessment	Largely Met	
2b. REDD+ strategy options	Met	
2c. Implementation framework	Largely Met	





## **Quantifying Drivers of Deforestation**

- Lack of quantitative information: The R-PP will generate it
- Changes in Land Cover can be used as proxies (2000-2005)
- 3 main land cover changes represents around 90% of changes.

Cover Change to	Area (ha)	% Area
Grass	495,044	36.2
Agricultural Lands	201,294	14.7
Secondary vegetation	521,559	38.2
3 Main changes	1,217,897	89.1

 Regional analysis on drivers and their underlying causes of D&D





## **Options of Strategy for REDD**

Agricultural frontier advance

Plan of sustainability of livestock throw 2020

Harmonization of policy on land use and conflict resolution

Colonization

Orient the policy to a best management of territory and procurer an use more efficient of available productive land

Illicit Crops Program of alternative agricultural systems





## **Options of Strategy for REDD**

Illegal Wood extraction Intersectoral plan against the illegal logging.

Sustainable wood production

Adjust of regulation regarding request of mining titles and licenses

Mining

Review and revocation of mining request






## **SESA**

## **Component 2d**

Component	TAP Review Actual status
2d. SESA	Met







## **Early Dialogue**

- To date more than 700 participants (20 events)
- From 280 organizations
- In all regions
  - 1. Amazon (11)
  - 2. Pacific (6)
  - 3. Caribbean (3)
  - 4. Andean (5\*)
  - 5. Orinoquia (3)

<sup>\*</sup> Under development Nov- Dic 2011





## **KEY Topics Identified for REDD+**

RESPECT FOR
COSMOVISION AND
CONCEPT OF
TERRITORIALITY

RESPECT FOR AUTONOMY AND AUTO-DETERMINATION RESPECT FOR TRADITIONAL PRACTICES

ADEQUATE AND EARLY
ACCESS TO INFORMATION

STRENGTHEN
LOCAL
INVOLVEMENT &
REDUCE INFLUENCE
OF
INTERMEDIARIES

MAXIMIZE
BENEFITS FOR
LOCAL
COMMUNITIES





## **SESA**

- There is a common understanding inside the government and with local communities that identifying Social and Environmental impacts is essential
- 1<sup>st</sup> meeting to advance on SESA on Nov. 2011







## REFERENCE LEVEL Component 3

Component	TAP Review Actual status
3. Reference Level	Largely Met





### Reference Level

**Activities: Deforestat** 

Deforestation & Enhancement of carbon stocks

Projection:
Historical
trends,
modeling??

Carbon pools to be included

Historic emissions Analysis and quantification of drivers of deforestation

**Forest Definition** 





## Monitoring System Component 4a – 4b

Component	TAP Review Actual status	
4a. Monitoring system	Largely Met	
4b. Multiple benefits	Met	









## MONITORING SYSTEM

- Integrates Sub-national and national approaches
- Gross estimates already generated
- MRV on Degradation will depend on feasibility studies
- Includes protocols for Forest Covers, Carbon as well environmental and social cobenefits
- Community-based monitoring





## Deforestation Fine National Scale

1990-2005
Deforestation HOTSPOTS


- Landsat based
- Oriented to quantify forest cover change areas (deforestation)
- Deforestation: 273.334 ha/year











## Biomass Stocks and Carbon Estimations

- •Carbon contents between 52,2 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> and 132,2 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- •26.797.625.427 t CO<sub>2</sub>e stored in our forests.













## BUDGET Component 5

Component	TAP Review Actual Status	
5. Budget	Met	





## **BUDGET**

Section	Section	Estimated cost (thousand USD)				
Section	Section	COL Gov	FCPF	UNFUNDED	Other	Total
	1.a. Institutional	\$240	\$1.000	\$900	-	\$2.140
Section 1	1.b. Dialogue	\$200	\$300	\$400	-	\$900
	1.c. Consultation	\$250	\$1.050	\$1.800	\$350	\$3.450
	2.a. Assessment	\$150	\$250	\$400	-	\$800
Section 2	2.b.c. Strategic	\$170	\$245	\$300	\$485	\$1.200
	2.d.SESA	\$250	\$285	\$200	-	\$735
Section 3	3. REL	-	-	-	\$4.177	\$4.177
Section 4	4.a MRV	-	-	-	\$4.600	\$4.600
	4.b. Multiple Benefits	\$90	\$170	-	\$70	\$330
Section 6	6. RPP Monitory	\$40	\$100	-	-	\$140
	Total	\$1.390	\$3.400	\$4.000	\$9.682	\$18.472





## Monitoring & Evaluation Framework

## **Component 6**

Component	TAP Review Actual status
6. M&E framework	Met







## MONITORING & EVALUATION FRAMEWORK

- Based on Logical framework Approach
- Developed by the REDD+ IWG
- Integrated with Colombian Public Institutions Quality Control Systems
- Must comply with preliminary set of indicators (open to adjusts)
- External audits contracted on an annual basis





## **Needs & Way Forward**

- Agreements as a way forward:
  - Review & adjust of budget responding interest to the local stakeholder (November 2011)
  - Draft resolution on national approval for Voluntary Markets projects(December 2011)
  - Workshops to update communities about the process (January February 2012)
- SESA preparatory first workshop (November 2011)
- Institutional arrangements for January 2012
- Keep working with communities, it's the only way to make REDD+ work in the field.
- REDD+ is one tool in a broader effort to tackle deforestation







## Thanks for your Attention

October 18, 2011, Berlin, Germany

Lucio Santos – Colombian REDD+ Focal Point

<u>Isantos@minambiente.gov.co</u>

