Overview of REDD+ Mechanism

John Fonweban
UN REDD Programme
FAO, Regional Office for Africa (RAF),
Accra, Ghana
John.fonweban@fao.org

Presentation at Workshop, 11-13 Feb. 2014, Khartoum, Sudan

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (IPCC, 2007)

Because of the significant amounts of GHGs emissions from deforestation & forest degradation (17%), policy makers under UNFCCC designed a global mechanism known as REDD+ to provide positive incentives to developing countries through "reward-for-efforts" towards reduction of emissions from forests, and for enhancing and sustainably managing their forests.



UN-REDD PROGRAMME

REDD+ and Activities

- Under REDD+ mechanism, countries are encouraged to implement the following activities (or mitigation actions) in the forestry sector (depending on their capabilities and national circumstances) :
    - Reducing emissions from deforestation
    - Reducing emissions from forest degradation
    - [+]
    - Conservation of carbon stocks
    - Sustainable management of forests, and
    - Enhancement of forest carbon stocks

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Illustration of REDD+ Activities



Reduced deforestation

Sustainable Management of Forest



Reduced forest degradation



Enhancement of forest C stock

Forest conservation

Phased Approach to REDD+ Implementation

REDD+ PHASES

Phase I
- Capacity building
- Design of National Plans, policies & measures

Phase II
- Implementation of national policies and action plans, demonstration activities

Phase III
- Positive incentives for verified performance

READINESS

FULL IMPLEMENTATION

Choice of REDD+ activities will depend on **national circumstances**

Demonstration activities must be "**results-based**" – they have to result in positive outcomes

Activities are implemented and monitored at the **national level**

UN-REDD PROGRAMME

REDD+ Implementation: Phased Approach

Phases	Main actions	Brief description
Phase 1	Readiness	• Capacity building • Stakeholder engagement and national dialogue • Development of national strategies or action plans • Identification of policies (reforms & new) and measures
Phase 2	Policy measures, strategy implementation & results-based funding	• Implementation of national policies and measures, national strategies or action plans , REL/RL" development • Operational Satellite Land Monitoring System (SLMS) for monitoring of demonstration activities • Further capacity-building • Technology development/transfer • Results-based demonstration activities and investments
Phase 3	Payments for verified performance	• Evolution into performance-based actions that should be fully measured, reported and verified

REDD+-Readiness Process

- Any country wishing to undertake REDD+ Readiness Activities is requested to:
    1. Develop a national strategy and action plan for REDD+
    2. Design & implement a national forest monitoring system for monitoring & reporting on REDD+ activities
    3. Develop a national forest emissions level or forest reference levels
    4. Develop a benefit-sharing system
    5. Develop a system to ensure that safeguards are addressed and respected

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Components of REDD+ Readiness

Components of REDD+ Readiness

Coordination & stakeholder engagement
- Coordination, consultation and decision-making
- Participation, training, consensus
- Analysis + consultation + experiments

REDD+ Strategy
- Drivers of deforestation
- Transformative vision
- Cost estimates and options
- Reference emission levels

REDD+ institutional framework
- MRV
- Safeguards, FPIC
- Multiple benefits
- Payment distribution system
- Nat'l REDD+ finance mechanism

UN-REDD PROGRAMME

National REDD+ Strategy

- UNFCCC Decision 4/15 on "Methodological guidance to REDD+ Strategy" recommends:
    1. The identification of drivers of deforestation and forest degradation and the means to address them;
    2. The identification of activities that result in reduced emissions and increased removals and stabilization of forest carbon stocks;
    3. The establishment of a robust and transparent national forest monitoring systems, using a combination of remote sensing and ground-based forest carbon inventory approaches; and
    4. Monitoring systems that provide transparent, consistent and accurate estimates, with reduced uncertainties, and results which are readily available for review by the UNFCCC.

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

National REDD+ Strategy

- UNFCCC Decision 4/15 on "Methodological guidance to REDD+ Strategy" recommends:
    1. The identification of drivers of deforestation and forest degradation and the means to address them;
    2. The identification of activities that result in reduced emissions and increased removals and stabilization of forest carbon stocks;
    3. The establishment of a robust and transparent national forest monitoring systems, using a combination of remote sensing and ground-based forest carbon inventory approaches; and
    4. Monitoring systems that provide transparent, consistent and accurate estimates, with reduced uncertainties, and results which are readily available for review by the UNFCCC.

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

National Forest Monitoring System

- The objective of the MRV System is to enable the assessment of national GHG emissions and removals in the forestry sector and to report this to the UNFCCC, in a transparent, accountable and verifiable manner.
- Countries are requested to establish, according to national circumstances and capabilities, robust and transparent national forest monitoring systems that:

1. Use a combination of remote sensing and ground-based forest carbon inventory approaches for estimating, anthropogenic forest-related greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks, forest carbon stocks and forest area changes;

2. Provide estimates that are transparent, consistent, as far as possible accurate, and that reduce uncertainties, taking into account national capabilities;

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Methodological Guidance for MRV Systems

- Following the IPCC's Good Practice Guidelines (IPCC, 2003) and the Guidelines for National GHG Inventories (IPCC, 2006), the simplest methodological approach for MRV consists of combining information on the extent of human activities (called 'activity data' or AD) with coefficients that quantify emissions or removals per unit activity (called 'emission factors' or EF)



$$Emissions = AD \times EF$$

UN-REDD PROGRAMME

REDD+ MRV System: Activity Data (AD)

- Activity Data for the REDD+ MRV System can be generated primarily from remote sensing data, and needs to comply to the IPCC (2006) guidance.
- An operational “wall-to-wall” system based on Approach 3 of the IPCC (2006) is preferable.
- Ideally a consistent land representation of 20 years is expected in order to capture lands that have been Forest Land for more than the transition period required.
- Remote sensing image analysis usually results in a stratification of the country into different land use categories, and the production of transition-matrices.
- Countries have been using different classification systems, but its good to align this with that prescribed by UNFCCC & IPCC.

Table 1. Example of land use change matrix



UN-REDD PROGRAMME

REDD+ MRV: Emission Factors (EF)

- REDD+ MRV System also requires information on GHG emission factors (EF) for forest lands and each of the forest-related land use change types.
- National Forest (Carbon) Inventories are needed, including development of allometric equations and Conversion/expansion factors.

- The generation of EFs require extensive field-based data collection, and can be undertaken for specific ecological regions and land uses (e.g. Mangrove Ecosystems).

- Estimates have to be made for different carbon pools (*to be detailed in a subsequent presentation*).

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

REDD+ MRV: GHG-Inventory

- With the full development and operation of the two elements (AD and EF) of the MRV system a country can generate its REDD+ related National GHG-I, for reporting to the UNFCCC (*in Phase III of REDD*+).
-
- The National GHG-I for REDD+ is incorporated into the National GHG-I, which will be submitted every four years as its National Communication (NC) to UNFCCC.
-
- Under Articles 4 and 12 of the UNFCCC, all Parties are required to prepare NCs.

- Information reported in GHG-I represents an essential link between science and policy, and provides the means by which the Conference of Parties (COP) can monitor progress made by Parties in meeting their commitments and in achieving the Convention's ultimate objectives.

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Reference Emission Levels/RL

- CP.17 fixed modalities for forest reference emission levels and forest reference levels

- Forest reference emission levels and/or forest reference levels are benchmarks for assessing each country's performance in implementing the activities referred to in decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 70;
-
- **Reference emissions level** (REL) is the amount of gross *emissions* from a geographical area estimated within a reference time period (REDD)

- **Reference level** (RL) is the amount of net/gross *emissions and removals* from a geographical area estimated within a reference time period (REDD+)
- [**REDD-UNFCCC Expert Meeting on "Methodological Issues relating to Reference Emission Levels" (Bonn, 23-24 March 2009)**]

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

A. Stepwise approach

10. *Agrees that a step-wise approach to developing REL/RL may be useful, enabling Parties* ***to improve*** *the forest reference emission level and/or forest reference level* ***by incorporating better data****, improved methodologies and, where appropriate, additional pools, noting the importance of adequate and predictable support as referenced by decision 1/CP.16, paragraph 71;*

12. *Agrees that a developing country Party should update a forest reference emission level and/or forest reference level periodically as appropriate, taking into account new knowledge, new trends and any modification of scope and methodologies;*

Progressive inclusion of C pools, if appropriate

Progressive inclusion of vegetation forms

Progressive inclusion of geographical areas

REDD+: Ensuring Multiple Benefits

- REDD+, has the potential to deliver [**ecosystem-based & social**] benefits beyond carbon if properly planned & implemented

Ecosystem-based benefits:

- Conservation of biodiversity
- Water regulation
- Soil conservation
- Timber production
- Forest food and other NTFPs

Social Benefits:

- Forest-based employment opportunities
- Livelihoods & incomes
- Carbon credit payments
- Enhancement in decision-making
- Better governance

UNFCCC encourages countries to promote & support the **Cancun Safeguards**, which require that REDD+ activities be undertaken while respecting the knowledge & rights of indigenous peoples, including the effective participation of stakeholders.

UN-REDD Programme has agreed on a set of Social and Environmental Principles & Criteria (**SEPC**) that can assist countries in developing a national safeguards system.

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Monitoring Governance Safeguards

- While initial emphasis or MRV had focused on carbon; governance, livelihood & ecosystem service issues are now emerging as requisite elements of MRV systems

- Good & efficient governance of forest resources & distribution of benefits are central to the success of REDD+ strategies; as demonstrated in Copenhagen negotiations where 3 out of 7 safeguards supported REDD+ activities related to governance.

- Core governance parameters to be monitored for REDD+ include:

    1. Clear & coherent policy, legal, institutional & regulatory frameworks (e.g. land tenure/carbon ownership & use rights),

    2. Effective implementation, enforcement and compliance (e.g. cooperative enforcement of REDD+ relevant laws..)

    3. Transparent & accountable decision-making and institutions (e.g. stakeholder participation in REDD+ design and implementation)

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Community-Engagement

- The Cancun Agreements of CoP16 requested Parties to ensure full and effective participation of Indigenous Peoples and local communities in REDD+ (probably not in monitoring per se)

- At Bonn (SBSTA30 Bonn, June 2009) the need for full and effective

engagement of indigenous peoples and local communities in, and potential contribution of their knowledge to, monitoring and reporting of activities relating to (REDD+) was emphasized and, furthermore, encouraged the development of guidance for effective engagement of indigenous peoples and local communities in monitoring and reporting.

- Decision 4/CP15 (Copenhagen, December 2009) refers directly to the role of communities in monitoring, in article 3, in which the,

"….. *encourages as appropriate, the development of guidance for effective engagement of indigenous peoples and local communities in monitoring and reporting*".

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Community-Based Forest monitoring

- Research by the Kyoto: *Think Global, Act Local* program has already shown that communities may be trained to use standard forest inventory protocols for carbon stocks following IPCC recommended procedures, and that this is as reliable, but very much cheaper than, expert inventories, meaning that the transaction costs of REDD+ may be reduced if communities do the monitoring themselves

- Community-based monitoring can be reliable and economic (cost effective), can enhance ownership and motivation, and can greatly enrich the national forest accounting database.

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME

Thank You

UN-REDD
PROGRAMME