

#### **FCPF Technical Advisory Panel**

# Tanzania RPP-TAP Comments & Recommendations

June 28 – July 1, 2010 FCPF Participants Committee Georgetown, Guyana

H.O. Kojwang and Tanzania TAP review team

### TZ: Overall Summary of the Review: 1

#### • Strengths:

- The RPP clearly recognizes the environmental services provided by forests and payment for ecosystems services (valuing the Eastern Arc Forests
- The joint efforts of key institutions on REDD (Central Government, Institute of Resource Assessment (IRA) and Sokoine University of Agriculture) is worthwhile.
- A good attempt has been made to show how the proposed strategy options are likely to address the identified drivers of deforestation and forest degradation
- It proposes the creation of a National Carbon Monitoring Centre, which may also act as an Independent Verifier
- Strongly advocates the use of REDD demonstration projects and a number of relevant indepth studies that will inform REDD ets.

## Overall Summary of the Review: 2

#### Areas that need further work:

- The process of consultations that have taken place appear to have concentrated more on awareness creation, than building consensus around issues such as benefit sharing, institutional structures & mandates and monitoring systems.
- The TORs of the various committees should be stated.
- The implementation framework (2c) could still be improved -
- Despite current participatory forest management programmes, the RPP is still not clear on the most likely benefit sharing models it may consider developing or adopting
- The methodology on reference scenario described refers mainly to data on carbon stocks (fine) but is not clear on the steps needed to calculate reference emission levels
- Monitoring the key drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, as well as key policy drivers are not quite explicit in the MRV Section of the RPP.

## Overall Summary of the Review: 3

#### Major Recommendations by the TAP 1

- The TORs of the REDD related bodies or committees are still not clear in the main text and the hierarchical relationship between the proposed bodies also need clarification
- Need for more focused and outcome related consultations
- In a number of cases one has to refer to the annex for relevant information, when it would be more useful to give a summary of what is in the annex.
- The MRV and Monitoring sections but should make strong and specific references to IPCC guidelines, provide more information on existing data and an account of existing national capacities and any gaps.
- The document should more clearly demonstrate how or to what extent the proposed strategy options directly address the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation.

## Overall Summary of the Review: 3

#### Recommendations by the TAP on current draft:

- Further consultations with clear results and outputs should be conducted
- The issue of benefit sharing should be discussed and resolved as crucial issue in REDD readiness
- Component 2c. While the outputs in Annex 2C are clear, the sub-component could be improved by e.g. clearly identifying the needed policy and legislative changes, the legal mandates of various committees and rules governing participation in REDD. List the outputs in Annex 2c
- The reference scenario component should more clearly explain the necessary methodological steps (eg the use of emission and carbon expansion factors to arrive at reference levels)
- The MRV section should explicitly include the monitoring of drivers of D&D, particularly those in agriculture and other policies



Overall Summary		TAP Comments First Round
Component	1a	Did not meet the standard
	1b	Partially met the standard
Component	2a	Did not meet the standard
	2b	Did not meet the standard
	2c	Partially met the standard
	2d	Largely met the standard
Component	3	Did not meet the standard
Component	4	Partially met the standard
Component	5	Did not meet the standard
Component	6	Largely met the standard