



**FCPF Technical Advisory Panel**

# **Tanzania RPP-TAP Comments & Recommendations**

**November 1-4, 2010  
FCPF Participants Committee  
Washington DC**

**H.O. Kojwang and Tanzania TAP review team**



# Overall Summary : Strengths

- *The RPP clearly recognizes the environmental services provided by forests and payment for ecosystems services (valuing the Eastern Arc Forests)*
- *The joint efforts of key institutions on REDD+ - (Central Government, Institute of Resource Assessment (IRA) and Sokoine University of Agriculture) is worthwhile.*
- *A good attempt has been made to describe drivers of Deforestation and Degradation and show how the proposed strategy options are likely to address them*
- *Components 3 and 4 propose the creation of a National Carbon Monitoring Centre, which may also act as an Independent Verifier of Carbon Credits under REDD+ Programmes*
- *Strongly advocates the use of REDD+ demonstration projects and a number of relevant in-depth studies that will inform REDD+ etc.*

# Areas for further work

- *The issue of representation of civil society and forest dependent people in the national and sub-national policy and decision making bodies on REDD+ should be clarified*
- *The issue of representation of civil society and forest dependent people in the national and sub-national policy and decision making bodies on REDD+ should be clarified*
- *Given the proportion of Tanzania's Forests outside official forest reserves, the RPP needs to be clear on the most likely benefit sharing models, that would*
- *The methodology on reference scenario (component 3) should be clear on the steps to estimate reference levels and on MRV (component 4), a clear co-ordination and implementation plan is recommended*
- *Monitoring the key drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, as well as key policy drivers should be explicit in the RPP.*





# Major Recommendations

- *The representation of civil society and forest dependent people in the national and sub-national policy and decision making bodies on REDD+ should be given due consideration*
- While there is evidence in the RPP that the objectives of component 3 are understood, a systematic description of the key steps necessary to calculate reference levels or reference scenarios (*In this regard reference to the RPPs of countries such as the DRC and Ghana could be useful*) is still recommended. Special attention should be given on how the key drivers of deforestation & forest degradation will be factored into the calculations.
- A clear coordination plan (between and among institutions) for what is intended and the role of the various institutions taking part in the MRV proposed system should be provided.
- Tables summarizing components 3 and 4; with key activities, sub-activities, the expected results and responsible institutions are needed to provide clarity.

# Overall Summary

Overall Summary		TAP Comments First Round	Second Round
Component	1a	<i>Did not meet the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
	1b	<i>Partially met the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
Component	2a	<i>Did not meet the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
	2b	<i>Did not meet the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
	2c	<i>Partially met the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
	2d	<i>Largely met the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>
Component	3	<i>Did not meet the standard</i>	<i>Partially meets the standard</i>
Component	4	<i>Partially met the standard</i>	<i>Partially meets the standard</i>
Component	5	<i>Did not meet the standard</i>	<i>Largely meets the standard</i>
Component	6	<i>Largely met the standard</i>	<i>Meets the standard</i>