

## REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

REDD+ Readiness Progress Fact Sheet

COUNTRY: EL SALVADOR

### Please Provide Contact Information:

---

Participant Details:	
Contact Country:	El Salvador, Centro Americana
Contact Name 1:	Jorge Ernesto Quezada Díaz
Title 2:	Punto Focal Nacional REDD
Contact Name 2:	Karla Ciudad Real
Title 2:	Especialista en Seguimiento Convenios
Contact Email:	<a href="mailto:jquezada@marn.gob.sv">jquezada@marn.gob.sv</a>
Alternate Email:	<a href="mailto:kciudadreal@marn.gob.sv">kciudadreal@marn.gob.sv</a>
Contact Telephone:	(503)21329407
Alternate Telephone:	(503)78508278
Submission Date:	August 15, 2014

**4. Issues Challenges and Risks**

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

Issue, Challenge, Risk	Actions to overcome, Adjustments to plan

**5. Main Lessons Learned**

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learnt since the beginning of the readiness process. As this is a semi-annual report, it is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learning documents, and/or events developed and dealing with issues of particular interest with respect to readiness of carbon funding under REDD+.

In Dialogue process with different stakeholders it is important to promote adoption of a comprehensive approach. Identify barriers to adoption & appropriate solutions proposal at landscape level. Put emphasis on local Adaptation, but in synergy with Mitigation. Proposal to Improve local benefits – risk reduction, enhance biodiversity (including soil biodiversity ), and to improve livelihoods and achieve positive impact on productive activities; Addressed to all stakeholders in a full and informed participatory process.

## 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Introduction to the report, its main purpose and sections. Short description of FCPF support in country.

El Salvador became a member of the FCPF in 2008 and, early 2011 the country signed a grant agreement with the World Bank for US\$200,000.00, from the FCPF's readiness fund, to formulate their Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP). The R-PP was approved by the FCPF Participant Committee on October 2012, and a "completeness check" was issued by the Facility Management Team (FMT) of the FCPF in March 2013. El Salvador finalized its due diligence process in October 2013. El Salvador's R-PP contains a detailed assessment of the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, a preliminary methodology to define its emissions reference level based on past emission rates and future emissions estimates, a Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system for REDD+, and actions for integrating environmental and social considerations into the REDD+ Readiness process, including the national REDD+ strategy. El Salvador signed a second grant agreement with World Bank for USD 3.6 Million to Readiness Preparation Phase in REDD+ with an Adaptation based Mitigation approach. With the second Grant will support El Salvador's sectoral policies and programs through the development of REDD+ to promote actions at the landscape level, which are conducive to the adoption of land use practices to restore degraded ecosystems, improve resilience to climate change, and mitigate greenhouse gases emission. As part of the implementation of

## 2. SUMMARY OF REPORT

Summary of progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period -- **key bullets only**

Progress:

El Salvador signed the second grant for preparation phase of the project in January 2014, totaling 3.6 million dollars. The Project General Budget includes FCPF funds and Counterpart from GIZ and Government. With GIZ support El Salvador has established a steering committee for Reference Level calculation and MRV System, and it has started a Pilot Project in Cinquera Region. MARN, as REDD coordination institution, has officialized an Indigenous Board for Dialogue and Consultation Process, in the framework of REDD+ MbA Consultation Platform . On a South South Cooperation, Indigenous People from El Salvador received in capacitation in REDD plus.

Key Achievements with focus on higher level results:

The Climate Change Committee of the National System for Environment Management, created in August 2012, serves as a deliberative body of policies, plans and programs related to climate change adaptation and mitigation. The Committee is currently formed by the Minister of Finance, the Minister of Public Works, Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, the Minister of Agriculture and Livestock, the Minister of Foreign Affairs, and the Minister of Environment and Natural Resources. This committee will be the Directive Body of the REDD-Plus Strategy and form the platform for high-level advocacy

Important issues /problems that arose during the reporting period:

MARN consolidated effort a dialogue with indigenous communities of the National Environmental Policy, and for their full participation in the formulation of the National Strategy for the Environment. The Indigenous Board currently includes 15 leaders representing indigenous organizations and communities from four indigenous areas in the country and its members have participated in early dialogue process on REDD +, as well as in pre-SESA Workshops. MARN has issued a ministerial decree to formalize the Board, to guarantee transparency and representativity.

Highlights of next steps in the following period:

Strengthen coordination mechanism and synergies among different initiatives and donors; Establish indicators and monitoring system for co-benefits; design Information System for Safeguards; Strengthen coordination with key stakeholders and government Institutions. Design a Consultation Process, and prepare and implement a Communication Strategy on REDD. Start a National Forest Inventory.

**3. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD**

The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention **“does not apply – n/a”**.

**3.1 PROGRESS AT THE IMPACT LEVEL (if any data available)**

Please provide here any quantitative and qualitative information, if available on the following criteria/indicators.

N/A

Number of tons of CO2 emissions from deforestation and forest degradation reduced in the country during the reporting period as compared to the measured REL/RL, if any :

National Forest Reference Emission Level (REL)/Reference Level (RL) defined:

N/A

Number of tons reduced during the reporting period as compared to REL/RL:

N/A

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process:

Source:	<Select>	Amount Provided:
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	

Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant:

Source:	<Select>	Amount Provided:
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	
N/A	Currency	

Level of multi-stakeholder participation and engagement in decision making processes related to emission reductions and forest resource management:

Please describe the process of engagement and consultation implemented, including describing the level of participation and engagement for the following stakeholder categories: Government Agencies, Indigenous Peoples, Other forest-dependent peoples, Women, Youth, Civil Society Organizations, Private Entities, Donors, and Others

2 | Page

MARN has established a dialogue process with Indigenous Communities for the National Policy on the Environment, as well as for their full participation in the formulation process of the National Environment Strategy. To follow up on this process, the Indigenous People formed a roundtable by representatives of indigenous leaders, both women and men, from across the country. The “Mesa Nacional Indígena” currently includes 15 leaders representing all four of the indigenous areas in the country and its members have participated in some of the REDD+ early information sessions as well as several of the pre-SESA dialogues hosted by MARN. The MARN

Nb. and type of policy reforms underway or completed complying to REDD+ standards, if any (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.B.):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are: <Select from dropdown list>

<b>Underway:</b>	#	Preparation of the Plan of Action of the National Biodiversity Strategy
	#	Preparation of the Action Plan of the National Strategy for Climate Change
	#	
	#	
<b>Completed:</b>	#	Reform Environmental Law
	#	Update of national environmental policy
	#	

Please describe these policy reforms:

Updating the National Environmental Policy last June 2011.  
Reform Environmental Law November 2012

**3.2 PROGRESS AT THE OUTPUT and OUTCOME LEVEL (if any data available)**

**3.2.1. REDD Readiness Progress**

**OUTCOME LEVEL**

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.):

Briefly describe progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

El Salvador submitted a Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) to the Facility Management Team (FMT) in September 2012, which was reviewed by a Technical Advisory Panel (TAP), a working group consisting of Participants Committee (PC) members established for this purpose, and the World Bank. The PC acknowledged the extensive efforts made by El Salvador, and the high quality of the R-PP. The PC at its thirteenth meeting decided to allocate funding to El Salvador to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness. El Salvador presented an updated R-PP in March 2013, and the FCPF FMT carried out the completeness check.

The World Bank, as Delivery Partner, carried out a mission in April 2013 to provide technical guidance on additional activities and information required in preparation for the due diligence.

Following recommendations made during a technical mission, El Salvador updated the R-PP and provided additional information on the R-PP components and on the SESA process with technical support provided by the Delivery Partner.

**OUTPUT LEVEL**

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)

Component	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
<b>Component 1. Readiness Organization and Consultation</b>	
1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	NO
1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	NO
<b>Component 2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation</b>	
2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	NO
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	NO
2c. Implementation Framework	NO
2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	NO
<b>Component 3. REL/RL</b>	
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	NO
<b>Component 4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards</b>	
4a. National Forest Monitoring System	NO

4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	NO
---	----

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

Level of implementation of R-PP as a whole:	
Please describe the current R-PP implementation	<b>% Completed:</b>
currently the RPP is approved during various actions performed in each of its components	

Level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.8 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3b):

Planned Milestones:	Level of Achievement:	Tracking:
N/A	20%	<input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable

**3.2.1. CONTINUED Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in %**

RF Grant - disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage:		
	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
RF Grant - actual disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate		<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable

N/A

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage:		
	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
N/A		
R-PP Budget - disbursement rate v planned disbursement rate		<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable



**3.2.1. REDD Progress Levels - Continued**

Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement (additional grant of up to \$5 million). Under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

The level of achievement of planned milestones according to the Readiness grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement. This is qualitatively expressed with a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, "Non Applicable" can be selected.

The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

Sub-Component 1a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking*
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>1a - National REDD+ Management Arrangements</b> Purpose: setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies Assessment Criteria: (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism	N/A				

Sub-Component 1b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>1b – Consultation, Participation, and Outreach</b> Purpose: broad consultation with and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+ programs, to ensure participation of different social groups, transparency and accountability of decision-making Assessment Criteria: (i) participation and engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv) implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes	N/A				

Sub-Component 2a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance</b> Purpose: identify key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable forest management, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks Assessment Criteria: (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource rights, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy	N/A				

Sub-Component 2b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2b: REDD+ Strategy Options</b> Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation Assessment Criteria: (i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectoral policies	N/A				

Sub-Component 2c	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2c: Implementation Framework</b> Purpose: Set out credible and transparent institutional, economic, legal and governance arrangements necessary to implement REDD+ strategy options Assessment Criteria: (i) adoption and implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDD+ registry and system monitoring REDD+ activities	N/A				

Sub-Component 2d	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>2d: Social and Environmental Impacts</b> Purpose: Ensure compliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) Assessment Criteria: (i) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework	N/A				

Component 3	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels</b> Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines	N/A				

Sub-Component 4a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>4a: National Forest Monitoring System</b> Purpose: Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to enhance the system over time Assessment Criteria: (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii) institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests	N/A				

Sub-Component 4b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
<b>4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards</b> Purpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring by the country Assessment Criteria: (i) identification of relevant non-carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii) monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii) Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards	N/A				

\*This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework. The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist in tracking their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

**3.2.3. Engagement of stakeholders to sustain or enhance livelihoods of local communities and to conserve biodiversity within the approach to REDD+**

**OUTCOME LEVEL**

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please describe indicators related to biodiversity conservation and forest community livelihood development included in the ER Program if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework indicator 3.A.):		
Amount provided:	Currency	
Please describe how these funds target biodiversity and forest community livelihood development:	The strategic proposal REDD + to El Salvador aims to promote activities that contribute simultaneously to mitigation and adaptation, and in turn allow addressing various international commitments, such as the conservation and restoration of forest ecosystems and sustainable forest management, consistent with the Strategic plan for Biodiversity of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Aichi Targets, particularly target 15, which	

Please provide relevant examples on the inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.):
Examples of inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+:

**OUTPUT LEVEL**

Number of examples of actions where Ips, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):	
#:	Please describe these actions on enhanced livelihoods and BD conservation, and restoration where Ips, CSO, and local communities participate actively:
	In El Salvador, through the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources two activities tha

**Number of IPs, REDD Country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):**

Please list the training(s) conducted:	Duration (#of days)	# of participants		Rating
		# of men	# of women	

As part of early dialogue and information sharing meetings, over 120

- Significant progress
- Progressing well, further development required
- Further development required
- Not yet demonstrating progress
- Not Applicable

**Frequency of meetings of stakeholder engagement platforms (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):**

Frequency:	Rating
------------	--------

For the process of early dissemination meetings w

- Significant progress
- Progressing well, further development required
- Further development required
- Not yet demonstrating progress
- Not Applicable

**Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.b.):**

Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities:
Yes	<p>REDD+ strategic options</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Harmonize sectoral policies and legislation linked to/influencing land use and land use change.</li> <li>2. Activate legal instruments related with: a. Land planning and environmental zoning; b. Land use and Land use change; c. Agricultural practices regulation; d. Harvest burning; e. Illegal timber and firewood extraction</li> </ol>

**Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at conserving biodiversity (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.c.):**

Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
Yes	<p>The monitoring component has been prepared following the structure reflected in the RPP of El Salvador and to provide an analysis that allows evaluation of the performance of different components of the rpg.</p> <p>The MARN REDD Unit will be responsible for implementing the monitoring program through the different indicators and means of verification proposed that will verify if you are getting the expected results. The monitoring will be implemented in the RPP component C of</p>

Does your country R-Package and/or ER Program include SESA, an operational Grievance Mechanism, and an	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
Yes	The purpose of the Complaints Bureau, Conflict Resolution, and making REDD + agreements

**3.2.4 Knowledge Sharing**

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support?	
Yes/No:	If yes, please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:
Yes	1 All versions of the RPP of El Salvador
	2 Sheet 1 : Executive Summary RPP
	3 Sheet 2 : Questions about REDD Process
	4 Sheet 3: What is SESA and SESA questions about the process
	5 Shett 4: Glossary REDD

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any?	
Overall number by product:	Please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:

Knowledge Product 1:		
# Total People Reached		250
# of Men		170
# of Women		84
Knowledge Product 2:		
# Total People Reached		250
# of Men		170
# of Women		84
Knowledge Product 3:		
# Total People Reached		250
# of Men		170
# of Women		84
Knowledge Product 4:		
# Total People Reached		250
# of Men		170
# of Women		84

Have some experts of your country participated in any South-South learning activities? If yes, how many?			
Yes/No	List the South-South	# Men	# Women
Yes/No	NO		
Yes/No			
Yes/No			
Yes/No			

**3.2.2. Key Elements of performance based payment systems for emission reductions generated from REDD+ activities**

**OUTCOME LEVEL**

**As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:**

Are carbon accounting, programmatic elements and pricing operating as planned in your pilot, if relevant?

<p>REDD+ MbA Pilot Project in Cinquera Region, With GIZ REDD Programme support, El Salvador is implementing a pilot project in the region of Cinquera. This aims to promote forest conservation and promote the establishment of resilient agroforestry systems, to address the main drivers of deforestation and degradation.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress  <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required  <input type="radio"/> Further development required  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress  <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable                 </div>
--	---

Is the benefit sharing scheme being implemented according to plans within your pilot, if relevant?

<p>Is important to recognize that the pilot project takes up the proposed REDD + El Salvador in the sense:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 A practical application of the concept "Mitigation Adaptation based" approach through a Non-Market.</li> <li>2 A commitment to restore and build resilience.</li> <li>3 An innovative, fair and equitable benefit-sharing mechanism (not tied to land ownership, as in the case of indigenous communities).</li> <li>4 Conducting a Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment and Management of REDD / UNFCCC safeguards.</li> <li>5 Review of relevant / harmonization of policies (Forest Policy</li> </ol>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress  <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required  <input type="radio"/> Further development required  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress  <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable                 </div>
---	---

Percentage and/or amount of monetary benefits shared with beneficiaries in approved pilot, if relevant?

<p>Through the implementation of the pilot project in the region Cinquera if social and economic benefits indirectly but long-term gain. Build resilience front Climate Change and reduce vulnerability</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress  <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required  <input type="radio"/> Further development required  <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress  <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable                 </div>
---	---

**OUTPUT LEVEL**

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:	
Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?	
<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Please briefly describe the content of these early ideas or ER-Program:</u>
Yes/No	N/A

Has your country signed an ERPA?	
Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?	
<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Please briefly describe the content of this ERPA:</u>
Yes/No	N/A

Amount and date of disbursements for ER Program according to plans, if relevant:			
<i>Date format:</i>	<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>		<Select>
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency