

**Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF):
Readiness Plan Idea Note (R-PIN) – External Review Form**

May 28, 2008

Guidelines for Reviewers:

- 1) *This review form is a record of your review, which may be disclosed for transparency. Please bear that in mind when filling it out.*
- 2) *Please summarize your comments-- address whatever you feel is important.*
- 3) *Please evaluate and mark (score) each of the 5 Summary Assessment review criteria from the FCPF Information Memorandum, the Participants Committee Selection Criteria, and the numbered R-PIN major topics, as requested in the right-hand column. Select a mark from the following scale: NA: Not Addressed. 1: Inadequately addresses criterion. 2: Barely addresses criterion. 3: Average, or adequately addresses criterion. 4: Good job of addressing criterion. 5: Excellent job of addressing criterion.*

1) Country submitting the R-PIN: [HONDURAS] 2) Date of Review: 13 February 2009 3) Name and affiliation of R-PIN Reviewer: TAP Review Synthesis	
I. Summary Assessment of the Quality and Completeness of the R-PIN: <i>Note with value of 1 – 5</i>	Mark (score):
Criterion (i): Ownership of the proposal by both the government and relevant stakeholders:	
Criterion (ii): Consistency between national and sectoral strategies and proposed REDD Strategy:	
Criterion (iii): Completeness of information and data provided:	
Criterion (iv): Clarity of responsibilities for the execution of REDD activities to be financed:	
Criterion (v): Feasibility of proposal and likelihood of success:	
SUMMARY SCORE: <i>add scores above and enter sum into box on right</i>	SUM:
Improvements the country could make to R-PIN, and any TA needs for it:	
II. Participants Committee Selection Criteria: Information	
Relevance of country in REDD context: Priority to countries with: (i) substantial forest area and forest carbon stocks; and (ii) relevance of forests in economy, including livelihoods of forest dwellers and Indigenous Peoples:	

Geographic and biome balance: across the world's main forest biomes.

Variety of approaches: Proposed innovative approaches to tackling deforestation and degradation; methods; testing new mechanisms and distribution of REDD revenues; and/or regionally important leadership.

III. Detailed Review of R-PIN Responses to Template Questions:

Please review the R-PIN quality and completeness in terms of addressing the major questions in the FCPF R-PIN template.

1. Government focal point, and ownership and consultation in producing the R-PIN:

A clear definition of the country focal point. There is a large list of contributors and participants in a workshop but there is no information in specific areas each one has contributed. In the list of workshop we don't know much about who are ngos, communities and others.

2. Identification of institutions responsible for: forest monitoring, law enforcement, conservation, and coordination across forest, agriculture and rural development:

Missing information on agriculture. Not clear information on which institution is responsible for the implementation of the new Forest Sector of Honduras. Regarding the Indigenous Federation which one is it?

3. Current country situation:

Where do deforestation and forest degradation occur, main causes, estimates of greenhouse gas emissions, data available? Key issues in forest law enforcement and forest sector governance?

Information on greenhouse emission by sector up to 2000. Data on Emissions of Land use change up to 2005. Country has a good data on forest related emissions. Data on causes of deforestation is without any analysis and background information. Evident need to improve the control on field.

4. Data available on indigenous peoples and forest dwellers?

Country needs to improve this information, would be great to have some inputs from the latest Census of the National Statistic Institute regarding the forest and indigenous peoples.

5. Current strategy in place to address deforestation and forest degradation. What stakeholder process was used to arrive at it?

There is no analysis about the four sub programs of PRONAFOR, analysis could be helpful. There is a need to have specific examples on participation of representative of civil society link to forest activity. No analysis on each of the policies and programs stated in this section.

6. What would be needed to reduce deforestation and forest degradation?

Has country considered the potential relationship between REDD strategies and country's broader development agenda?

There is a list of policies and issues without details and analysis; they need to work on it.

Has any technical assistance been received, or is planned on REDD?

Assistance has been received from NGOs and German cooperation agency but clear idea of their future contribution.

<p>7. What stakeholder consultation process would country use for developing and implementing REDD under FCPF support?</p> <p>Good information about the experience in consultation process which can be used for REDD. Would be interesting to have any specific information regarding rules for participation or consultation.</p>
<p>8. Implementing REDD strategies: challenges to introducing effective REDD strategies, and how might they be overcome? Would performance-based payments through REDD be a major incentive for implementing a more coherent strategy to tackle deforestation?</p> <p>There is a need for additional information even the summary stated is interesting.</p>
<p>9. REDD strategy monitoring and implementation: How forest cover and land use change are monitored today, and any constraints in this approach?</p> <p>Lack of human and technical capacity, equipment and budget as well. What exactly is Forest Inventory Newspaper? There is a need of more explanation on the strategy to be considering (6). If English is a real problem there is a need to asses with a Spanish RPIN.</p>
<p>10. Additional benefits of potential REDD strategy, and how to monitor them: biodiversity and rural livelihood?</p> <p>The information need to be clearly organized with more analysis. We don't understand the link of hydroelectric power with REDD. They have tools to monitor biodiversity which will used for REDD strategy.</p>
<p>11. What assistance is country likely to request from FCPF Readiness Mechanism ?</p> <p>This section presents a clear assessment of needs and some interesting ideas for implementation.</p>
<p>12. Donors and international partners already cooperating with country on REDD.</p> <p>Clear technical support from ngos and other relevant institutions.</p>
<p>13. Country's Potential Next Steps and Schedule:</p> <p>The section contains general ideas of how a REDD strategy could proceed in Honduras. However it lacks precise objectives and a time table, making it difficult to assess progress feasibility.</p>
<p>14. Attachments and their usefulness:</p> <p>N.A</p>