



**Guatemala Revised R-PP, dated March, 2013**

## Completeness Check by FMT

---

Guatemala submitted a Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) to the Facility Management Team (FMT) in January 2012, which was reviewed by a Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) and a working group consisting of Participants Committee (PC) members established for this purpose.

The PC reviewed the R-PP in accordance with Section 11.1 (b) of the Charter Establishing the FCPF (Charter) at its eleventh meeting in March, 2012 in Asunción, Paraguay; and acknowledged the extensive efforts made by Guatemala, and the high quality of the R-PP. The PC decided, through Resolution **PC/11/2012/1** to allocate funding to Guatemala to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness. For this purpose, the resolution requested Guatemala to submit a revised R-PP (Revised R-PP) to the FMT, reflecting the key issues in the summary report prepared by the FMT included in the annex of this resolution.

In the following, the FMT presents the results of the completeness check. This summary will be posted on the FCPF website and FMT will notify the PC of its availability.

The Revised Version follows now the R-PP template version no 6. It was available in Spanish language at the time of this completeness check.

The following were the key issues that Guatemala needed to address in the Revised Version of the R-PP before entering into a Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement with the IDB.

### **1. Provide a more detailed budget proposal in the R-PP, including information about potential additional funding sources.**

Guatemala presents in the revised R-PP version a restructured budget based on a coordinated work of the technical secretariat of the Interinstitutional Coordination Group (GCI), spelling out priority actions to be financed with FCPF resources.

Additional funding sources are documented in an explanatory note in component 5. Currently, the country is negotiating with several financial contributors additional funding for the R-PP implementation. However, the country considers that it is too early to break down these eventual contributions at the level of sub-component. The following donors provide already or will provide funding in the future:

- GEF through UNDP with a program on sustainable forest management, which will cover relevant parts of the R-PP.
- The German government, through the regional REDD CCAD/GIZ support program. Satisfactory explanation is given about the order of magnitude of this cooperation.
- USAID will channel a substantial amount of resources for the Climate Change agenda through a consortium of NGOs around Rainforest Alliance. Details of budget break down are expected to be available in the near future.

**Completeness confirmed.** The FCPF FMT has verified that the R-PP has provided more clarity in terms of a more detailed budget on both levels, of sub-component and the consolidated budget in component 5, respectively. Information provided on additional funding sources is satisfactory even though at this point of time the expected total cost of USD 10.9 millions is not yet fully covered.

## **2. Review the amount of funds allocated for Sub-Components 2d and 4b.**

The previous budget of sub-components 2 d and 4b was USD 185.000 and USD 107.000, respectively. Sub-component 2 d refers to the development of SESA, and sub-component 4.b. provides a summary of Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts and Governance Activities and the respective Budget. The revised budget allocates for these two sub-components USD 318.000 for 2.d and USD 169.000 for 4 b. Both breakdowns show more detail, give additional consideration to the timing and sequencing and specify which resources are assigned to FCPF funding.

**Completeness confirmed.**

## **3. Update the R-PP to include existing plans to involve the private sector in the REDD+ process.**

The update provides additional and more exhaustive information on the importance of making the private sector an integral part of the REDD readiness process and explains in more detail how this will be achieved (see additional text in sub-components 1a, 1b and 1c.)

Private Sector will participate in the general coordination body, the “Grupo Bosques, Biodiversidad y Cambio Climatico (GBByCC) and at the national and regional levels. In addition, associations in the different (agricultural) sectors are clearly mentioned with their respective relevance for the process going forward (Gremial Forestal, AZASGUA, ANACAFE, AGRESOYA, GREPALMA, FEGAGUATE, AGEXPORT).

The Chambers of Commerce will play an important role at department and local levels.

Currently, the Private Sector participates actively in the working group/ committee on Safeguards for REDD+ of the GBBYCC and has a seat in the technical secretariat of this coordination body.

**Completeness confirmed.** FMT has carefully checked the information provided and confirms that the requirements as formulated in the annex to the resolution are fulfilled.

#### **4. Update the monitoring plan in Component 6 to include all activities as presented in the R-PP.**

The monitoring plan was updated to reflect all relevant activities throughout the revised R-PP. In addition, a detailed budget is provided which was not available in the previous version.

**Completeness confirmed.**

The Facility Management Team will post this document on the FCPF website and notify all PC members of its availability.

Washington, March 21, 2013