

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING (with semi-annual update)

COUNTRY: México

PERIOD: [From August 15th, 2014 to August 15th, 2015]¹

Background: This country reporting framework has been developed following the structure of the FCPF Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, its logical framework and Performance Measurement Framework (PMF), so as to facilitate and systematize the data analysis. The semi-annual country reporting should provide the FCPF's Facility Management Team (FMT) with indications of REDD+ countries' progress towards the achievement of their readiness activities and the implementation of their Emission Reductions programs overtime, in a way that data are easily consolidated and provide indications on the level of achievement of the FCPF output, outcome and impact indicators as defined in the FCPF M&E Framework.

Report preparation: Submitted country reports should draw upon the country M&E system for REDD + (component 6 of R-PP) and should be prepared in consultation with members of REDD task force or equivalent body. Inputs from stakeholders including IPs and CSOs should be integrated into national reporting, and divergent views indicative of lack of consensus on specific issues should be recorded in the country report.

Reporting schedule: It is expected that the annual progress country reporting will be submitted to the FMT by August 15th each year. The reporting should be based on a self-assessment of progress. An update of this country reporting will also be submitted by March 15th each year.

1. SUMMARY OF REPORT

This section should provide a short description of FCPF support in country (bullets on FCPF-financed activities only). Information should summarize progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period should also be provided (key bullets only).

SUMMARY:

FCPF supports in Mexico:

- The final version of ENAREDD+, including feedback from the various stakeholders.
- A completed Environmental and Social Management Framework, including feedback from various stakeholders.
- Grievances redress mechanism for REDD+ at the State level, piloted in an Early Action Area (ATREDD+).

FCPF financing is focused on two of the R-PP components:

- Component 1: Readiness Organization and Consultation
- Component 2: REDD+ Strategy Preparation

¹ All the information in this report is based in the midterm progress report that was presented to the FCPF in May 2015.
https://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org/sites/fcp/files/2015/May/MTR%20Mexico_Eng%20with%20GRM.pdf

Progress:

- The final draft of the National REDD+ Strategy is completed and was favorably received by the ENAREDD+ Working Group of CONAF.
- There is currently a draft text of the Consultation Plan², which was analyzed by the ENAREDD+ Working Group of CONAF.

Next steps:

- The final draft of the ENAREDD+ will be the subject of a public consultation process, where the free and voluntary participation of ejidos, agrarian communities and indigenous people and communities will be sought. The overall aim of the consultation is to collect opinions, provide feedback and arrive at agreement or consent with regard to the aim, components and lines of action of ENAREDD+. This will be achieved through full and effective participation, the exchange of ideas, mutual learning and understanding among the stakeholders.
- The consultation process will take place in the course of 2015, with a view to coming up with an inclusive and integral instrument by the year 2016. The aim of this exercise is to arrive at a national strategy that is culturally, socially and environmentally relevant and functional, based on a process that is voluntary, open, free and inclusive.
- Develop a solid Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) validated by various actors.
- The piloting of a feedback and grievance redress mechanism for REDD+ at the state level in a REDD+ Early Action areas

2. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD

The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention “does not apply – n/a”.

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.2.B.i.):	
Source: N/A	<u>Amount provided:</u>
Source: N/A	<u>Amount provided:</u>
Source: N/A	<u>Amount provided:</u>
Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of activities relevant to ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant ³ (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.2.B.i.):	
Source: UN-REDD Program	<u>Amount provided:</u> 650 US\$ thousands

² <http://www.enaredd.gob.mx>

³ This section includes the financing for readiness from other sources as reported on the Midterm Progress Document.

<u>Source:</u> Government of Norway- [Strengthen the capacities of Mexico to develop a national Measuring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system necessary for the implementation of REDD+]	<u>Amount provided:</u> 15,356 US\$ thousands
<u>Source:</u> International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)- [Support rural communities in the territory of the Borrower to manage forests sustainably, build social organization, and generate revenue]	<u>Amount provided:</u> 25,660 US\$ thousands
<u>Source:</u> French Development Agency- [Create inter-municipal governance bodies to bring together public agents for territorial development]	<u>Amount provided:</u> 2,418 US\$ thousands

Describe how stakeholders are participating and engaging in REDD+ decision making processes (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.A):

Provide examples of how IPs and CSOs are represented in institutional arrangements for REDD at the national level.

1. **The National Forestry Council (CONAF)** is a consultative and advisory body on matters pertaining to the General Law on Sustainable Forest Development (LGDFS), and on matters for which its opinion is sought. Its membership is comprised of representatives from academia, indigenous communities, the industrial sector, non-governmental, professional and social organizations (ejidos and communities), state councils and governments.
2. Additional coordination arrangements are carried out by institutional agreements, for example: the 2013 agreement between CONAFOR and the **National Commission for the Development of Indigenous Peoples (CDI)**. Under this agreement, the parties consent to collaborate in actions to foster sustainable forest development among indigenous people and communities, through the promotion and implementation of activities to protect, conserve, restore and ensure the sustainable use of forest resources and ecosystems. The agreement lists the specific activities required to achieve this objective, including the following: a) promote sustainable forest development, with a view to improving the living standards of indigenous peoples and communities; b) establish links for the exchange of information, and c) encourage the participation of indigenous communities in the protection, conservation, restoration and monitoring of forest resources.

Examples of stakeholder engagement platforms in country which meet regularly to discuss and provide inputs to the REDD+ readiness process (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):

<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Platform</u>
To be determined each year ⁴	REDD+ Working Group (GT-REDD) of the Inter-Ministerial Commission on Climate Change: The need to ensure intersectoral coordination to address climate change, together with Mexico's commitment to ensuring a sustainable approach to rural development, have led to the creation of two inter-ministerial commissions: the Inter-Ministerial Commission for Climate Change (CICC) ⁵

⁴ The GT-REDD+ has met 9 times since its creation.

⁵ Comprised of the Ministries of: External Relations; Social Development; Natural Resources and the Environment; Energy; the Economy; Agriculture, Livestock, Rural Development, Fisheries and Food; Communication and Transport. The following

	<p>and the Inter-Ministerial Commission for Sustainable Rural Development (CIDRS).⁶ In accordance with the provisions of the General Law on Climate Change, the CICC established the REDD Working Group (GT-REDD), with a mandate to promote REDD+ in Mexico and devise the corresponding national strategy.</p> <p>GT-REDD+ of the CICC met on three occasions, in the course of 2014, to discuss and seek feedback on the following issues: ENAREDD+ draft text, ENAREDD+ Consultation Plan and ENAREDD+ Communication Strategy. In 2015, the working group will seek to expand on the platform's agenda and strengthen inclusiveness and participation among its various members.</p>
Meetings are determined each year ⁷	<p>ENAREDD+ Working Group of the National Forestry Council:</p> <p>The ENAREDD+ Working Group was established as part of CONAF on 30th July 2013 and has actively participated in contributing input on the ENAREDD+ draft texts. Finally, at the Extraordinary Session of the National Forestry Council held in February 2015, the final draft of the National REDD+ Strategy was favorably received.</p>
Meetings are determined each year ⁸	<p>REDD+ Technical Advisory Committee (CTC-REDD+)</p> <p>The National REDD+ Technical Advisory Committee (CTC-REDD+), was established in 2010 as a collaborative and specialized forum to analyze and assess the REDD+ process. Through a number of thematic working groups, 12 the Committee has provided significant input in the National Strategy and in other REDD+ Readiness Preparation activities.</p> <p>CTC-REDD+ is a national participatory platform for dialogue among stakeholders, with representatives from diverse government institutions, non-governmental organizations, representatives of ejidos, communities and associations, members of academia and the private sector. A number of Working Groups (WGs) are also represented, including the REDD+ State Strategy Working Group, created in August 2013 to guide the development and the content of REDD+ strategies in those states where REDD+ Early Actions (ATREDD+) are carried out. The Working Group is also tasked with ensuring that these strategies are aligned with ENAREDD+ objectives in accordance with the circumstances prevailing in each state. The group is an open forum for discussion and feedback among participants from state governments, civil society and CONAFOR state administrations.</p>
The sessions are determined each year.	<p>State CTCs</p> <p>During the first months of 2011, CONAFOR stepped up its dialogue with state governments and other local stakeholders, such as municipal associations, to discuss various options for the REDD+ implementation framework. These subregional processes have also included efforts to promote the creation of Technical Advisory Committees (CTCs) at the regional and state levels.</p> <p>The Technical Advisory Committee for Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation Plus (CTCREDD+) was established in Chiapas on 20th August 2011. This committee aims to work in a coordinated manner</p>

Ministries are invited to attend sessions: Health; Finance and Public Credit and the Ministry of the Interior. (Published in the Official Gazette of the Federation, 2005)

⁶ Consisting of the Ministries of: Agriculture, Livestock, Rural Development, Fisheries and Food; the Economy; Environment and Natural Resources; Finance and Public Credit; Communication and Transport; Health; Social Development; Agrarian Reform; Public Education; and Energy (Official Gazette of the Federation, 2001).

⁷ There were 8 sessions from July to December 2013, while 5 sessions were held in 2014, to discuss ENAREDD+.

⁸ Dates of the sessions may be viewed at <http://www.conafor.gob.mx/web/temas-forestales/bycc/redd-enmexico/participacion/>.

	<p>on projects and activities with a climate change mitigation focus, and which include the themes of deforestation and forest degradation. Furthermore, the REDD+ Technical Advisory Council of Campeche was set up on 5th September 2011, with active stakeholder participation from ejidos, associations, NGOs, among other social actors.</p> <p>One of the achievements of CTC-REDD+ of Campeche has been to decide on eligibility criteria for demonstration projects aimed at the sustainable management of resources. In November 2011, CTC-REDD of the Yucatán Peninsula was established to provide follow-up, input and tools for the regional REDD+ mechanism and the agreement signed by the three states (Campeche, Quintana Roo and Yucatán) to establish the Regional REDD+ and Climate Change Strategy. In addition, CTC-REDD+ Oaxaca and CTC-REDD+ Chihuahua have been established, while CTC-REDD+ Puebla is currently being consolidated.</p>
The sessions are determined each year	<p><i>Roundtable for Indigenous and Rural Communities</i></p> <p>The CONAF Roundtable for Indigenous Peoples and Rural Dwellers was established in 2014. It aims to provide support and feedback on the ENAREDD+ consultation process, specifically with respect to the following points: i) to learn more of the content of the National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+); ii) provide additional input to the ENAREDD+ Consultation Plan; iii) develop a joint methodology for implementing ENAREDD+ Consultation, with emphasis on modalities directed at rural and indigenous communities, to ensure that they are culturally relevant; iv) make recommendations on timelines within which Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities should process internally ENAREDD+ information before the consultation begins. This should be done in accordance with the protocol for carrying out consultation among indigenous peoples and communities and in accordance with the guidance of the CDI; v) evaluate the ENAREDD+ Consultation Process and make recommendations at each phase; and vi) help to systematize the comments and opinions expressed during the consultation phase and make recommendations for their inclusion in the final ENAREDD+ document.</p>
<p>Examples of resources made available to enable active participation of IPs , CSOs and local communities in national REDD+ readiness.</p>	
<p>Materials⁹:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ENAREDD+ website, www.enaredd.gob.mx. • The Basic Guide to Forests, Climate Change and REDD+ in Mexico, a document that clearly and succinctly presents the most relevant information on the causes and effects of climate change, as well as the relationship between the phenomenon and Mexico's forest ecosystems, illustrating the REDD+ mechanism and the history of its development. • The official logotype for REDD+ in Mexico, which consists of six elements that together, depict Mexico's vision on Sustainable Rural Development. • Two foldable brochures with one version on woodlands and another on forests, with a print-run of 5,500 copies. These are targeted mainly at owners of forest lands and provide information on climate change and its consequences and carbon flows, an overview of integrated land management with a focus on sustainable rural development. 	

⁹ The materials and communication activities listed in this and the following section are example of resources made available as reported in the Midterm Progress Document, however, they do not consider only materials financed by the FCPF readiness grant.

The following outreach and communication interventions were carried out in virtual and physical forums:

- Participation of CDI in the radio program on climate change, as part of activities commemorating the International Day of Indigenous Peoples, broadcast nationally on 20 radio stations, covering 954 municipalities and accessible to 22 million potential listeners.
- 10 Community courses on Community Forestry, with 172 participants receiving training on forests, climate change, REDD+, ENAREDD+ consultation, as well as an information kit for distribution.
- A Workshop involving Indigenous Cultural Radio Broadcasting Systems of the CDI. The Workshop featured analysis and feedback on broadcasting material and the production of radio programs for disseminating information on REDD+ and the consultation process.
- A Workshop on the translation of texts, by the National Institute of Indigenous Languages (INALI), with a view to producing materials in the languages of indigenous peoples. During this Workshop, translation was provided for the following materials: a comic strip, entitled "REDD+: We're All in this Together;" a folded brochure, called "+woods and +forests in the face of climate change," a basic presentation and poster, entitled: "Join the consultation!"
- Publication of the second issue of the electronic magazine: "Forestry Innovation," which discusses the ENAREDD+ consultation.

Number and type of policy reforms initiated, completed or underway complying to REDD+ standards, if any (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.B.):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are:

Underway:

N/A

Completed:

N/A

Please describe these policy reforms:

N/A

Design of national REDD+ Strategies addresses indicators for enhancement of livelihoods of local communities and for biodiversity conservation (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.*):

Provide examples of how national REDD Strategies address livelihoods of local communities and biodiversity conservation.

The readiness grant does not finance specific actions on enhanced livelihoods and biodiversity conservation, however the National REDD+ Strategy seeks to improve the social welfare of the population and the development of economic activities in the territory ensuring the permanent conservation of natural resources, biodiversity and ecosystem services.

The ENAREDD+ recognizes and respects the rights of the communities to do sustainable manage of forest resources, but overall, the recognition that only with its participation, the preservation of forest, its ecosystem services, and the enormous biologic diversity would be possible.

3. PROGRESS AT R-PP sub component level

3.1. REDD Readiness Progress
















As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.*): up to 300 words, if applicable

Progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

As part of the process of the Readiness Package, in March 2015, Mexico presented its Midterm Report to the Participants Committee in the PC19. Further progress will be accomplished with the presentation of the R-Package, planned for the 21st PC Meeting in 2016.

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)

Components	Sub-components	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
1. Readiness Organization and Consultation	1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes
	1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	Yes
2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation	2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Yes
	2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
	2c. Implementation Framework	Yes
	2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels		No
4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	4a. National Forest Monitoring System	No
	4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	No

Level of overall achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.b.):																	
Planned Milestones:	Level of Achievement ¹⁰ :	Tracking ¹¹ :															
Consultation Plan: guiding document defining the plan for the national consultation.	Significant progress	<table> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>Significant progress</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>Progressing well, further development required</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td>X</td><td>Further development required</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>Not yet demonstrating progress</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>Non Applicable</td></tr> </table>			Significant progress			Progressing well, further development required		X	Further development required			Not yet demonstrating progress			Non Applicable
		Significant progress															
		Progressing well, further development required															
	X	Further development required															
		Not yet demonstrating progress															
		Non Applicable															
A final version of ENAREDD+ reflecting the comments and feedback from the various sectors and stakeholders.	Progressing well, further development required	<p>Please explain why:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The final draft of the Consultation Plan was analyzed by the ENAREDD+ Working Group of CONAF. - After consultation process, the comments and feedback obtained during this process will be included to construct the final version of the ENAREDD+ 															
A completed Environmental and Social Management Framework, including feedback from various stakeholders.	Further development required																
A state-level comprehensive feedback and grievance mechanism for REDD+ is piloted in one Early Action Area	Not yet demonstrating progress																

¹⁰ Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement for an additional grant of up to \$5 million. For instance, under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

¹¹ The level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved RF grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale "Non Applicable" can be selected.





This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework






Degree of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component and sub-component (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.c.).

Countries are expected to rate progress toward the implementation of R-PP sub-component only once a year, as part of the reporting submitted by August 15th each year

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)			
		Planned milestones	Achievements				
R-PP Component 1 – Readiness Organization and Consultation	Sub-Component 1a – National REDD+ Management Arrangements <i>Purpose: setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies</i> <i>Country Self-Assessment Criteria: (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism</i>	Participative process for integrating and disseminating the final draft of the ENAREDD+	<ul style="list-style-type: none">ENAREDD+ Working Group of the National Forestry Council held 5 sessions in 2014, to discuss ENAREDD+.For the Technical and Consultive Committee (CTC) for REDD+, dates of the sessions may be viewed at http://www.conafor.gob.mx/web/temas-forestales/bycc/redd-enmexico/participacion/	<div><div></div><div></div><div>Significant progress</div></div> <div><div></div><div>X</div><div>Progressing well, further development required</div></div> <div><div></div><div></div><div>Further development required</div></div> <div><div></div><div></div><div>Not yet demonstrating progress</div></div> <div><div></div><div></div><div>Non Applicable</div></div>	Please explain why: The final draft of the ENAREDD+ is completed for national consultation.		
	Sub-Component 1b – Consultation, Participation, and Outreach <i>Purpose: broad consultation with</i>	Dissemination of REDD+	Dissemination of REDD+ was implemented through Forest Sector Social Organizations [Organizaciones Sociales del Sector Forestal OSSF] in 2014	<div><div></div><div>X</div><div>Significant progress</div></div>			






¹² The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.







	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
	<i>and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+ programs, to ensure participation of different social groups, transparency and accountability of decision-making</i> <u>Country Self-Assessment Criteria:</u> <i>(i) participation and engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv) implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes</i>	Dissemination of ENAREDD+ related information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ENAREDD+ website, www.enaredd.gob.mx. The Basic Guide to Forests, Climate Change and REDD+ in Mexico, a document that clearly and succinctly presents the most relevant information on the causes and effects of climate change, as well as the relationship between the phenomenon and Mexico's forest ecosystems, illustrating the REDD+ mechanism and the history of its development. The official logotype for REDD+ in Mexico, which consists of six elements that together, depict Mexico's vision on Sustainable Rural Development. Two foldable brochures with one version on woodlands and another on forests, with a print-run of 5,500 copies. These are targeted mainly at owners of forest lands and provide information on climate change and its consequences and carbon flows, an overview of integrated land management with a focus on sustainable rural development. 			Progressing well, further development required
						Further development required
						Not yet demonstrating progress
						Non Applicable
		Systematization of comments and information about consultation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Result expected in 2015 	<p>Please explain why:</p> <p>The country is conducting a broad consultation process with national participation of the social groups involved; that is culturally appropriate, with transparency and use of relevant information.</p>		




	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
R-PP Component 2 – REDD+ Strategy Preparation	Subcomponent 2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance <i>Purpose: identification of key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable management of forests, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks</i> <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource right, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy	Studies, analytical work and dialogs for the preparation of new states	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Various studies and analyses have been produced that have provided some insight into the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the country¹³. They have also helped to identify areas where information is required and/or where gaps exist in legislation, policy and governance. While funding for these studies has been supplied from different sources, they have been conducted in close collaboration with CONAFOR Dialogue and capacity building was promoted at the state level (states in addition to ATREDD+ states) for REDD+ readiness in 2014, in particular the development of workshops starting in the states of Puebla, Veracruz, the state of Mexico and Oaxaca, in which representatives of state governments, state offices of federal agencies, organizations of forest producers, organized civil society, and academia participated. 		X	Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development required
						Not yet demonstrating progress
						Non Applicable











¹³ The list of studies can be checked in the Mid-Term Progress Report






	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)
		Planned milestones	Achievements	
		Systematization of lessons learned in the ATREDD+ states and dialogue with various departments.	Productive Landscapes Forum, organized by CONAFOR, SAGARPA, and the World Bank, with the aim of promoting dialogue on reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation (REDD+) and integrated land management among decision-makers of government agencies from different sectors.	






	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
	Subcomponent 2b: REDD+ Strategy Options <i>Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation</i> <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> <i>(i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectoral policies.</i>	National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+)	<p>The study: Elements for the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+), was produced in 2011 and published in November of the same year. The study was further strengthened by feedback from CTC-REDD+, as well as the inputs and comments of GT-REDD+ of the CICC. This led to a new version of the ENAREDD+, which was presented in October 2012 (as Draft 1).</p> <p>The draft was submitted for analysis and feedback from stakeholders, through existing national and state platforms such as the National and State CTCs, GT-REDD+ and the CICC. In July of 2013, a new version of ENAREDD+ (Draft 2)²⁸ was presented for consideration to CTC-REDD+ and other platforms, such as the ENAREDD+ Working Group of the CONAF. Subsequently, following the inclusion of comments and observations, Draft 3 of the ENAREDD+²⁹ was produced in April 2014, with a fourth draft³⁰ being presented in November of the same year. In February 2015, the fourth draft received a favorable assessment by key stakeholders through CONAF, which means that Mexico has now a final draft of the ENAREDD+.</p>	<div>  </div> <div> X </div> <div> Significant progress </div>		
				<div>  </div> <div> </div> <div> Progressing well, further development required </div>		
				<div>  </div> <div> </div> <div> Further development </div>		
				<div>  </div> <div> </div> <div> Not yet demonstrating progress </div>		
				<div>  </div> <div> </div> <div> Non Applicable </div>		
		Analysis of the strategic options (action lines) of the ENAREDD+	Result expected in 2015			






	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
	Subcomponent 2c: Implementation Framework <i>Purpose:</i> Set out credible and transparent institutional, economic, legal and governance arrangements necessary to implement REDD+ strategy options <i>Country Self-Assessment Criteria:</i> (i) adoption and implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDD+ registry and system monitoring REDD+ activities	Intervention model in REDD+ Early Actions	This model includes the information collected to date on the causes and dynamics of deforestation in the country, and the intervention strategy suggested for eliminating the direct and underlying causes of deforestation and degradation. This strategy seeks to improve horizontal coordination of public policies, especially in the agricultural and environmental sectors and in mechanisms for intergovernmental cooperation, which would give a new impetus to rural development by promoting sustainable land management models.			Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development
					X	Not yet demonstrating progress
						Non Applicable
		Pilot of the feedback and grievance mechanism in one Early Action Area	A preliminary proposal has been developed for the Terms of Reference for piloting the Grievance Mechanism for REDD+ in the Yucatán Peninsula.			
	Subcomponent 2d: Social and Environmental Impacts <i>Purpose:</i> Ensure compliance with	Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA) report	Result expected in 2015			Significant progress

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
	Planned milestones	Achievements			
<i>the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)</i> <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> <i>(i) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework</i>	Development of a comprehensive Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)	Result expected in 2015			Progressing well, further development required
				X	Further development
					Not yet demonstrating progress
					Non Applicable
<i>Please explain why:</i> Initial systematization of the participatory process was carried out. The systematization of the analytical and participatory process in Mexico will be completed in 2015 and the analysis of environmental and social risks of the components and lines of action of the current ENAREDD+ proposal will come to an end. These two activities will provide valuable inputs for the preparation of the SESA report and the subsequent development of the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF).					

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
R-PP Component 3 – Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels <i>Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL</i> <i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines</i>	N/A	N/A			Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development
						Not yet demonstrating progress
					X	Non Applicable
				And explain why:		
R-PP Component 4: Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	Subcomponent 4a: National Forest Monitoring System <i>Purpose: Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to enhance the system over time</i> <i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii) institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests</i>	N/A	N/A			Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development
						Not yet demonstrating progress
					X	Non Applicable
				And explain why:		






	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ¹² (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
	Subcomponent 4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards <i>Purpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring by the country</i> <i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) identification of relevant non-carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii) monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii) Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards</i>	N/A	N/A			Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development
						Not yet demonstrating progress
					X	Non Applicable
				And explain why:		

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.d.):			
	Rate	Tracking	
RF Grant - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements	10%	Please select your rating:	
			X Up to 10% variance with plans
			Between 10-25% variance with plans
			Between 25-40% variance with plans
			More than 40% variance
			Non Applicable

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.d.):			
	Rate	Tracking	
Total Budget in R-PP - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements (including other funding sources and FCPF Readiness Grant)	N/A	Please select your rating:	
			Up to 10% variance with plans
			Between 10-25% variance with plans
			Between 25-40% variance with plans
			More than 40% variance
			X Non Applicable

3.2. Engagement of stakeholders within the approach to REDD +

Examples of actions/activities where IPs, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.a.):	
<u>Action/activity:</u> Please refer to section 2 (page 4) of this report.	<u>Describe IP, CSO, and local community participation:</u>
Panel of Experts: Consultation on ENAREDD+ and critical steps and elements to be included in the Consultation Plan.	72 Participants representing social organizations, government agencies, indigenous people, farmer organization, among others.

Dissemination process of ENAREDD+ through Forest Sector Social Organizations			<p>Dissemination of REDD+ was implemented through Forest Sector Social Organizations [Organizaciones Sociales del Sector Forestal OSSF] in 2014 with the participation of 34 national and regional projects.</p> <p>As part of the activities for dissemination through the OSSFs, CONAFOR organized five introductory REDD+ courses for social organizations participating in the program, with 129 attendees who were trained in forests, climate change, REDD +, ENAREDD+ consultation, and they received an information package for dissemination</p>		
Number of IP and REDD country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):					
<u>Please list the training conducted:</u>	<u>Duration (# of days)</u>	<u># of participants # of men / # of women</u>			<i>Targets in terms of number of men and women to be trained by country to be defined</i>
N/A					
					
					
				X	<i>Non Applicable</i>

3.3. Knowledge sharing

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support:	
<u>Yes/No:</u> YES	<p><u>Please provide the list of published REDD+ knowledge products, if any during reporting period :</u></p> <p>Given that the consultation includes an information and dissemination phase, a dissemination process was implemented through Forest Sector Social Organizations [Organizaciones Sociales del Sector Forestal OSSF] in 2014, by means of the support V. Project Implementation for the Dissemination of ENAREDD+ concept in the framework of the Program for the Promotion of Social Organization, Planning and Regional Forestry Development [Programa de Fomento a la Organización Social, Planeación y Desarrollo Regional Forestal PROFOS] 2014. Through this modality, it was the OSSFs that, through a project implementation team, implemented various activities to disseminate the topic. As a result, an amount of \$1,053,764 was invested for a total of 34 national and regional projects.</p> <p>Finally, products for dissemination that are expected to be printed during 2015 are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notebook with five computer graphics on REDD+; • Reprint of basic guidelines on forests, climate change and REDD+ in Mexico; • Posters in Maya and Nahuatl inviting persons to the consultation; and

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The “<i>En esta REDD estamos todos</i>” [we are all REDD now] comic book with a story about disseminating the REDD+ process.
--	--

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any:
Overall number by product:
of Men:
of Women:

Have some experts of your country participated in any South-south learning activities? If yes, how many (men and women)?		
Yes/No:	List the South-South learning activities:	# of men: (IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives) # of women: (IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives)
NO		

4. ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND RISKS

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

It is expected that the country monitors any changes in the assumptions that underpin the logic of intervention of FCPF at the national level and other significant risks that may arise. This section should explain through a narrative any changes in the level of risk associated with the different assumptions, or describe new risks that may have emerged and have a significant bearing on the national work-planning with respect to FCPF support for the next year and beyond, along with the associated measures required to address this change.

N/A

5. MAIN LESSONS LEARNED

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learned since the beginning of the readiness process. It is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learned, analysis documents developed, and/or experiences made in dealing with issues of particular interest to REDD+.

N/A