



FCPF Participants Committee PC-13

Chile RPP-Revised Version PC-Review

**For the PC:
Colombia, Switzerland and
Indonesia**

Presented by Colombia

October, 2012

PC's Assessment (overall)

- ☐ Well established and recognized forest sector that is also well embedded in the national economy
- ☐ Well elaborated... (give examples...)
- ☐ Innovative (forest degradation,)
- ☐ Focus on smallholders, communities and indigenous peoples as drivers for REDD+
- ☐
- ☐

PC's Assessment (some observations)

- ❑ 1a no mention of work on forest NAMA
- ❑ 1b good overview on stakeholder consultation but need some information on the results (main issues)
- ❑ 2b not clear how “technical actions” in forestry benefit smallholders and indigenous peoples....
- ❑ Well designed RL and MRV system
- ❑

PC's Recommendations

- ❑ 1a and 2b: Describe the link of Readiness preparation to the pilot implementation of the Forest NAMA
- ❑ 2b: Describe better the proposed “technical actions” that are undertaken so that farmers and indigenous communities will have access to the benefits.
- ❑ 4b: co-benefits: MRV for socio-economic indicators could be strengthened through listing some concrete activities
- ❑

Standard	Revised PC Review October 2012
1a. National Readiness Management Arrangements	Partially Met
1b. Information Sharing and Stakeholder Dialogue	Largely Met
1c. Consultation and Participation Process	Met
2a. Land Use, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Met
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Largely Met
2c. Implementation Framework	Met
2d. Social & Environmental Impacts during Preparation and Implementation	Met
3. Reference Level	Met
4a. Monitoring – Emissions and Removals	Met
4b. Other Multiple Benefits, Impacts and Governance	Met
5. Schedule and Budget	Met
6. Program Monitoring & Evaluation Framework	Met