Chile submitted a Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) to the Facility Management Team (FMT) in October 2012, which was reviewed by a Technical Advisory Panel (TAP), a working group consisting of Participants Committee (PC) members established for this purpose, and the World Bank;

The PC reviewed the R-PP in accordance with Section 11.1 (b) of the Charter Establishing the FCPF (Charter) at its fourteenth meeting held on March 19-21, 2013 in Washington, DC; and delivered Resolution PC/14/2013/3 acknowledging the extensive efforts made by Chile, and the high quality of the R-PP.

The PC noted that the R-PP formulation process to date has been a positive one, and that the R-PP offers good opportunities for other REDD countries to learn from Chile’s experience. The PC therefore decided to allocate funding to Chile to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness.

For this purpose, the PC requested:

i. Chile to submit a revised R-PP (Revised R-PP) to the FMT, reflecting the key issues in the summary report included in the annex of Resolution PC/14/2013/3;

ii. The FMT to check on the Revised R-PP for completeness, make it available on the FCPF website and notify the PC of its availability;

Key Issues

The following are the key issues that Chile addressed to entering into a Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement with the Trustee of the Readiness Fund.

1. **Revise the R-PP text and relevant diagrams to reflect that a representative of NGOs and an Indigenous Peoples’ (IP) representative independent of the Government will be included in the Bureau of Forests and Climate Change, and the Technical Group;**

The reason for this request was the need to emphasize representation of Indigenous Peoples independent of the government in the institutions that will implement Chile’s preparation for readiness, which are the Bureau of Forests and Climate Change, and the Technical Group.

In the new R-PP version 9, updated on October 4, 2013, Chile addressed this request by adding a representative of NGOs and Indigenous Peoples to the list of representatives making up the Bureau of
Forests and Climate Change (Fig 1a.4 in page 22 and 23) and the Technical Group (page 23 and 25), respectively.

Furthermore, in the revised R-PP it is explained that the process for the selection of these IP representatives at the Bureau of Climate Change as well as the Technical Group will be defined in close consultation between CONAF, CONADI and the National Council for CONADI in order to ensure that this selection process is efficient, representative and independent of government institutions (pages 25, 46 and 47). The R-PP also clarifies (page 42 and 43) that the National Council for CONADI counts with the representation of 16 counselors, eight of who are representatives of Indigenous Peoples who are elected into the National Council every four years through direct vote by Indigenous Organizations, Communities and Associations recognized by Chilean Law 19.253 for Protection, Promotion and Development of Indigenous People.

The fact that the R-PP clarifies that the selection process will be defined in close consultation with these democratically elected Indigenous Peoples’ representatives who ought to advise on a process that ensures the criteria of representativeness and independence of government institutions complies with the request in resolution 1 that “Indigenous Peoples’ representatives independent of the Government will be included in the Bureau of Forests and Climate Change, and the Technical Group”.

Additionally, the R-PP includes representatives of CSOs and NGOs (pages 21-24) for the Bureau of Forests and Climate Change and the membership of Technical Group.

Completeness is confirmed for issue number 1 in resolution.

2. Revise R-PP to consistently use the term “Indigenous Peoples” as defines in ILO 169, and use the term “Indigenous Communities” only in the context of indigenous communities legally or traditionally recognized.

In response to this request by the PC and later by the FMT, Chile revised the R-PP October 2013 version on pages 19, 21-26, 28-31, 34, 37, 38, 41-49, 56, 63, 68, 74-77, 82, 83, 87, 88, 92, 93, 95, 97, 99, 100, 101, 105-108, 124, 153-155, 160, 161, 165-175, 192, 214, 217, 223-225, and 234 using the term “Indigenous Peoples”, and on several pages using the term “indigenous communities” when context was appropriate only. The revised R-PP version submitted by Chile complies with the request in resolution 2.

Completeness is confirmed for issue number 2 in resolution.

Washington; October 11, 2013