FCPF PC review: Draft R-PP Argentina, March 2010

This advanced draft is an excellent basis for the future work until completion of the final R-PP document. Please consider the following remarks and recommendations:

- The draft is strong in forest resource assessment. Proposed actions for REDD readiness focus on strengthening existing scientific knowledge of forest DD and link it with GHG. Thus a rather academic approach with a bias to the bio physical relationship between land use changes is present and not so much on the economic drivers of forest DD. More work needed on this point!
- The document would gain from having information on forests and drivers better organized to provide an understanding of relations and magnitude of drivers.
- Indicate the definition of forest that Argentina has adopted for CDM and REDD, further explain the MRV mechanism, add the schedule of activities under component 5 and modify the amounts according to what the FCPF can give (the rest of funding needed must seek other sources of funding).

- ToR for all components are missing. The document in general is very descriptive, without clear methodologies.
- Clearly indicate information gaps and how to deal with them. (workplan)
- Complete exec. summary, further develop consultation process design and standardize the conceptual framework of the strategy.
- Broaden basis of available information in forest statistics, consultative processes with civil society, provincial governments, other stakeholders and several other topics; best achieved by inviting more institutions, universities, research centres etc. to collaborate in the final R-PP.
- Discuss the role of provincial governments in REDD readiness and implementation.
- Discuss governance issues with significantly more detail including means to achieve integrity of forest revenues utilization and distribution.
- Analyze REDD strategy trade-offs between different approaches/ options and conclude in a set of priorities.
- The R-PP should deal with investment costs, operational costs, opportunity costs and transaction costs as much as possible.
- For each component, the R-PP should establish clear institutional responsibilities.

- Capacity building, several current gaps are identified. Important to "translate" these gaps into concise capacity building activities and give them cost tags and a time frame.
- The draft does not explain base year for projected reduction. It is not clear what the reference scenario is, as the graph appears to indicate reductions in accumulated areas. Since there is a strong impact by the new law, it is prudent to incorporate this in the reference scenario. Also analyze impact of the law in reducing D&D indicated for the 3 provinces and see if this process is similar in other provinces.
- Finally, disaggregate analysis by the 3 classes of conservation importance. Projecting the results of this analysis into the future and incorporating the goals of national investment, should give a more realistic projection to reducing the deforestation rate for 2015 and 2020.
- The discussion about indigenous people should focus on more targeted analysis regarding their current and future roles in D&D.

- General recommendations: Please provide list of expected results, ToR for all components and total implementation costs. Also include list of acronyms, sources for tables, graphs, a summary of each subcomponent, explain gaps, cost categories, exchange rates, scheduling and sequencing activities and information on Component 6. Make English version available.
- We believe the process to improve Argentina's R-PP still makes it possible to officially present it in 2010.

Detailed comments available on request

Thank you very much