Mexico PC working group review

Saile Peter, Andreas Dahl Jorgensen, Lucio Andrés Santos Acuña, Leandro Fernández

- Mexico has a clear potential for REDD and solid previous experience in MRV and forest conservation programs to build on.
- The document is advanced in conceptual terms and in describing and analyzing the status quo as a starting point for a meaningful R-PP strategy
- Background in PES programs and forest monitoring and inventory

- Environmental and social co-benefits are mentioned in several parts of the document. However, the REDD readiness strategy is not explicit on how these co-benefits would influence the process
- If a Nested Approach is intended, it will be necessary to explain better the general way of doing it and how to achieve the national focus later on.

•

- The information provides about IP and their organizations and how they include and participate in the REDD strategy is limited
- It is difficult to assess, based on the existing plan, whether indigenous peoples rights to land and natural resources and indigenous peoples' right to be consulted in accordance with ILO onvention 169 will be addressed in the REDD strategy.

National Readiness Management Arrangement

- 1)More clarification on the compositions and functional relationship between REDD TF, REDD WG and CONAFOR is requested for a better understanding
- 2) Mexico is a federal state and it is unclear how the various states will be included in the REDD

Assessment of Land Use, Forest Policy and Governance

• The main activity proposal for component 2a "Develop a proposal to expand current PROCYMAF local models to a PILOT scale REDD sub-activities in at least 800 forest communities" doesn't fit very well the objective of this component.(????)

Budget

• limit the time horizon of planning to years 2010 and 2011 if most components carry activities that go beyond that period? Without this information it is not clear, from only looking at table 5, why costs would stretch until 2013.