Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) Readiness Mechanism
Kenya R-PP
PC REVIEW, June 2010

General Observation:

- **Overall REDD+ cost-benefit analysis would support the future integration of forest sector and forest into National Climate Change Response Strategy**
  - **stronger link to BD, water conservation and other ecosystem services**

- **Deforestation/Degradation – Enhancement of Carbon Stock**
  - **CC impact to ecosystems and related risk (fire)**

Component 1. Organize and Consult
Standard 1a: National Readiness Management Arrangements

- ***The R-PP clearly describes the existing climate change mechanism as provided for in the National Climate Change Response Strategy which hosts REDD, Land Use and Land use Change Division.***

- ***The composition of the proposed National REDD Steering Committee(RSC) includes various key stakeholders***
  - ***however it does not include representation from the private sector and Ministry of Agriculture which are key in the implementation process.***

- ***The role and function of TWG need to be clarified to avoid conflict of inters***

Component 2. Prepare the REDD Strategy

- **The R-PP has documented the past failures, but no past success in implementing policies and measures to address drivers of deforestation and forest degradation.**

Standard 2.b: REDD strategy Options:

- ***The R-PP has clearly defined the REDD strategy options aligning them with the identified drivers of deforestation and forest degradation.***

- ***There is no clear link between the proposed REDD strategies and biodiversity conservation.***

Standard 2.c: REDD implementation framework:

- **The proposal have sufficiently articulated the economic, institutional and legal frameworks for REDD implementation including a clear definition of implementation priorities.**

Standard 2.d: Assessment of social and environmental impacts:

- **The R-PP acknowledges the importance of SESA, its critical role and links it to consultation and participation plan. It sufficiently outlines the SESA methodology accompanied by a coherent work plan. Some activities have no budget provisions (no estimated cost) and it is important to indicate how these activities will be implemented.**

Component 3. Develop a Reference Scenario

- **The R-PP adequately gives a description of the reference scenario development process and draw linkages with the Monitoring system design.**

Component 4. Design a Monitoring System

- **It would be important for the R-PP to stipulate how improving governance, impacts on biodiversity, rural livelihoods etc. will be monitored.**

Component 5. Schedule and Budget

- ***The R-pp has presented a detailed work plan, with timeline and a summary of the budget. It is not clearly spelt out the technical support that may be required.***

Component 6. Design a Program Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

- **The R-PP by itself does not describe the indicators but rather give provision for such activity to be carried out to develop output indicators.**