



# Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

## R-PP Review and Assessment Process

Participants Committee Meeting (FCPF PC4)

Washington, DC - October 27-28, 2009

# Outline

- Recap from PC3 and changes to R-PP and review and assessment process
- Highlights: Experiences of countries in R-PP preparation (FMT perspective)
- Getting organized for assessing increased number of R-PPs for PC5 & PC6: Presentation of options followed by discussion

# Recap from PC3 Meeting in Montreux

- Proposal to change from R-Plan to Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) and revise the Program Document for Review and Assessment of R-PP, was accepted by PC in view of the following:
  - Expectations with the use of term R-Plan
  - Countries desire simpler R-PP template
  - Harmonize with UN-REDD
  - Shift to planning document that identifies funding priorities and timing – to enable donor funding decisions
  - 15 review criteria difficult to operationalize

# Steps undertaken since PC3 by FMT

- The draft R-PP template, Review and Program Document for Review and Assessment of R-PP revised and circulated for comments
- Significant inputs received from PC members and observers
- Comments incorporated and revised documents posted on the website for interim use by REDD Country Participants ([R-PP Template v.3 09-04-09](#) and [FCPF FMT Prog Doc 2009-1-Rev.3 R-PP Assessment 10-23-09](#))
- French and Spanish versions of the R-PP template are also available

# Key Components of R-PP

1. Organize and Consult
2. Prepare the REDD Strategy
3. Develop a Reference Scenario
4. Design a Monitoring System
5. Budget and Schedule
6. Design a Program Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

# Key Revisions to Program Document

- 10 standards for review and assessment correspond to each component of the R-PP
- Phased review process to facilitate early feedback to countries on draft R-PP via PC members, TAP and World Bank
- Countries will have the opportunity and adequate time to address issues early on (before the formal R-PP assessment by PC)

# Highlights: Country Experiences in preparation of R-PP (1)

- Preparation of R-PPs led by REDD country team, in some cases with strong consultant inputs
- Active engagement by countries of national and international organizations in R-PP preparation
  - FCPF and UN-REDD supporting R-PP preparation
- R-PP is comprehensive, covers all the Readiness needs
- Countries beginning to use the R-PP template as the overall basis for REDD Readiness
- In-country consultations well initiated but need further strengthening

# Highlights: Country Experiences in preparation of R-PP (2)

- Ongoing national programs and other initiatives in REDD could be reflected better
- Need to strengthen National REDD Working Group and make it more cross-sectoral
- Further guidance on MRV & Reference Scenario development desirable
- Needs well identified but complementary funding sources not evident in R-PPs at this stage
- Guidance on application of Strategic Environmental and Social assessment is desirable



# Highlights: Country Experiences in preparation of R-PP (3)

- Training session on R-PP organized for REDD countries in August 2009
  - Diverse participation : 100+ participants from 4 countries (Liberia, Costa Rica, Mexico and Ghana)
  - Countries reflected and shared insights & experiences on various components (REDD Strategy, Reference Scenario, MRV, etc.)
  - Overall feedback was positive
    - R-PP robust and useful as a national REDD readiness planning document
    - Countries expressed need for shared learning
    - Other specific issues identified

# Training Sessions: Proposed Dates

- R-PP Training

- 4 sessions for 16 countries; additional sessions can be added
- Countries are requested to select one of the following dates:

- Session 2 & 3: Dec 8, 10 OR Dec 15, 17
- Sessions 4 & 5: Jan 5, 7 OR Jan 12, 14

DECEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
		1	2	3	4	5
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26
27	28	29	30	31		

JANUARY						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						



**Getting organized for assessment of increased  
number of R-PPs at PC5 & PC6 meetings**

# R-PPs likely to be submitted for assessment at March 2010 (PC5) meeting

## Draft Submissions from October 2009

Ghana	Suriname

## Possible New Submissions for March 2010

Colombia	Nicaragua	Peru	Costa Rica	Mexico				
DRC	Gabon	Kenya	Liberia	Madagascar	Republic of Congo	Tanzania		
Nepal								

Possibly 15 R-PPs to be assessed (TBC)

# Options for R-PP Assessment (1)

## – Option 1: Business as usual

- @3 hrs of discussion time per R-PP at the PC meeting, need 45 hrs of working time

Time wise not feasible nor efficient (~ 5 days would be required).

## Options for R-PP Assessment (2)

- Option 2: Assessment by working groups DURING PC meetings
  - The PC members split into working groups and meet in parallel sessions at the time of the PC meeting and consider a set of R-PPs together with TAP
  - The working groups reconvene in the plenary for adopting Resolution on the R-PP
  - Pros:
    - The PC members would need to travel only once
    - The PC takes more charge of the process
  - Cons:
    - Still ~ 3 full working days for assessments
    - Leaves little time for other agenda items
    - Not everyone participates in the full discussion

# Options for R-PP Assessment (3)

- Option 3: Assessment by working groups of a SUB-SET of R-PPs PRIOR TO PC meeting
  - On basis of interest expressed by PC members, constitute 2 or more working groups (WG) for assessment of a sub-set of R-PPs
  - The assigned PC WG, TAP, and REDD country teams meet virtually or in person before the PC meeting (e.g., 2 weeks in advance) to discuss the sub- set of R-PPs
  - The WG presents its findings to the PC meeting for PC Resolution
  - Pros:
    - Considerably reduces workload at time of PC meeting
    - Allows in-depth review and presentation
    - Other members still have opportunity to seek clarifications
    - PC takes more charge of the process
  - Cons:
    - Additional resources and time needed

# Options for R-PP Assessment (4)

*IF option 3 is preferred: Need to schedule additional meetings and form PC working groups to lead R-PP review discussion*

*All dates are in 2010 and refer to formal R-PP assessment*

Draft R-PP received by FMT	Revised R-PP posted on FCPF website (after TAP review & Bank R-PP Assessment Note are sent to Country)	PC working group meeting to review and discuss R-PPs	PC meeting Resolution (tentative dates)
PC – 10 weeks	PC – 4 weeks	PC – x weeks	PC
January 11	February 22	March 1-5 or March 8-12	March 22-24 PC 5, Gabon
April 19	May 31	June 7-11 or June 14-18	June 28-29 PC 6, Location TBD
August 16	September 27		October 25-27 PC 7, Location TBD