



FCPF Technical Advisory Panel Presentation Sub-part TAP review of submitted Drafts

Peru Draft R-PP: Initial comments by the TAP

June 27-29, 2010
FCPF Participants Committee
Georgetown, Guyana

For TAP review team Peru: Juergen Blaser

Peru – Strength of the R-PP

- Importance of Peru in the FCPF framework: the fourth largest extension of tropical forests in the world, after Brazil, DRC and Indonesia. These forests are some of the richest in the world, in terms of biological diversity and natural resources.
- TAP recognises that this is a draft, not a formal submission
- Good effort to base the Readiness planning on existing experiences and programmes, taking a holistic REDD+ approach
- Build on an approach that closely links national and sub national levels. More precise information is needed, however, as well as more clarity about the geographical approach and the distinction between REDD and REDD+ in the different biophysical zones.
- Emphasizes collaborative approaches in forest conservation.
- Forms part of a coherent national climate change policy

Peru – Areas that need further work

- Concise background information needed for the understanding of the R-PP
- Institutional arrangements and inclusion of stakeholders: Current institutional situation and the proposed arrangements (to re-enforce TG REDD, to establish a UCF and to operate a SISNAFOR) are insufficient to develop Readiness
- Show that excepting the MINAM, there is evidence of real and effective Governmental willingness to assume a REDD program.
- Appropriate TORs for the development of the REDD+ strategy, RS and MRV needed
- Analysis on participation, drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, potential of REDD+ and linkages between REDD+ strategy, Reference Scenario and MRV
- Capacity building is a transversal theme mentioned in all components, however it does become clear where the gaps are and which specific capacity needs to be built
- The overall objective of the Readiness planning is not clear, though an approach has been chosen to present results, activities and indicators. However, there is a lot of repetition from one section to the other.
- The R-PP needs more work to sufficiently recognize the special needs, circumstances, and rights of Indigenous Peoples.

Peru – Recommendations (1)

- Revise the document to ensure more coherence and avoid duplication; take into account the detailed comments made by the TAP under each component.
- Reflect further on the institutional arrangements and decision making provisions at an adequate level that expresses the extra-sectoral challenges of DD and the particular challenges of Peru
- Make clear that the R-PP preparation process is well consulted
- Consider more in detail how the current process of revision of the forest law and environmental service law can influence an evolving REDD+ strategy.
- REDD+ strategic options: Carefully consider in the REDD+ strategy the different land tenure categories and the inclusion of the forest-dependent communities

Peru – Recommendations (2)

- Consider the additional note made in the Annex of the TAP report to further develop the two core components on Reference Scenario and MRV.
- Elaborate a detailed roadmap which of the REDD+ relevant parameters the MRV system can account for in the medium term; assess the relevance of available technical and methodological options with respect to the national circumstances and take more attention to the connection of its REDD+ strategy with the preservation of its exceptional biodiversity.
- Carefully develop the budget for each section
- Prepare an adequate monitoring plan and describe its main components.