

**Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF)
Carbon Fund
Emission Reductions Program Idea Note (ER-PIN) Template**

Guidelines:

1. An FCPF REDD Country Participant that has made significant progress towards REDD+ readiness will be eligible to submit an Emission Reductions Program (ER Program) for consideration for a potential Emission Reductions Payment Agreement (ERPA) under the FCPF Carbon Fund. The purpose of this document is to provide some of the necessary information for this consideration.
2. This ER-PIN template will be used as a basis for the selection of ER Programs into the Carbon Fund by the Carbon Fund Participants.
3. A REDD Country Participant interested in submitting an ER-PIN to the Carbon Fund should refer to the selection criteria included in the Carbon Fund Issues Note available on the FCPF website (www.forestcarbonpartnership.org) and to further guidance that may be communicated by the FCPF Facility Management Team (FMT) over time.
4. The completed ER-PIN should not exceed 20 pages in length, plus, optionally, up to 15 additional pages of technical material (e.g., maps, data tables, etc.). If additional information is required, the FMT will request it.
5. When completed, please submit the ER-PIN to: 1) the Director of World Bank programs in your country; and 2) the FCPF Facility Management Team (fcfsecretariat@worldbank.org).

<p>Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) Carbon Fund Emission Reductions Program Idea Note (ER-PIN) Template</p>

Country	
Date submitted	

<p>1. Entity responsible for the management of the ER Program. <i>Please provide the contact information of the institutions responsible for implementing the ER Program.</i></p>
--

Name of managing entity	
Main contact person	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	
Website	

<p>2. National REDD+ Focal Point contact information. <i>Please provide the contact information for the institution. who endorses the submission of the ER-PIN</i></p>

Name of entity	
Main contact person	
Title	
Address	
Telephone	
Email	
Website, if any	

<p>3. Implementing Partners. <i>Please list existing implementing partner agencies and organizations that have executive functions in financing, implementing, coordinating and controlling activities. Add rows as necessary.</i></p>

Name of implementing partner	Role in the Program
<i>Name</i>	
<i>Name</i>	
<i>Name</i>	
<i>Name</i>	
<i>Name</i>	
<i>Name</i>	

<p>4. Program Location and Life</p>
--

Scale of the ER Program <i>(national or sub-national).</i>	
If sub-national, indicate location and boundaries of the Program area, e.g., administrative jurisdiction.	
Expected lifetime of the Program. Please describe over how many months/years the Program will be <i>(a) prepared; and</i> <i>(b) implemented.</i>	

5. Description of Activities Planned under the ER Program	
<p>Which of the following activities best characterizes the ER Program:</p> <p>(a) Reducing emissions from deforestation;</p> <p>(b) Reducing emissions from forest degradation;</p> <p>(c) Conservation of forest carbon stocks;</p> <p>(d) Sustainable management of forest;</p> <p>(e) Enhancement of forest carbon stocks.</p>	
<p>Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation. Please present the analysis of drivers and agents of deforestation and forest degradation within the boundaries of the ER Program.</p>	
<p>Description and justification of planned and ongoing activities under the Program. Please describe the proposed activities and policy interventions, including governance, under the program and justify how these activities will address the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation.</p>	
<p>Description how the risk of reversals (non-permanence) will be minimized. Please describe how the Program will ensure the long-term sustainability and avoid reversals (non-permanence) of emission reductions over time. For sub-national Programs, describe how the planned activities to address the risk of reversals are consistent with the (emerging) national REDD+ strategy.</p>	
<p>For sub-national programs, describe how the Program will minimize the risk of displacement of emissions (leakage). Please describe how the planned activities address the risk of displacement, consistent with the (emerging) national REDD+ strategy.</p>	
6. In case of Subnational Programs	
<p>Governance. What is the governance arrangement in place to manage the ER Program (committee, task force) and who participates?</p>	
<p>Consistency.</p> <p>(a) How is the ER Program consistent with the (emerging) national REDD+ strategy (incl. policies, national management framework, legislation)?</p> <p>(b) How does this Program contribute to the development of the national</p>	

<p><i>REDD+ strategy?</i> (c) <i>Has the national authority responsible for REDD+ activities provided its approval? If not, why and when will it provide its approval?</i></p>	
<p>Is there a national registry in place and will this Program be included in the registry? <i>Please include a short description of the national management arrangement?</i></p>	

7. Preliminary assessment of the ER Program in the context of the national SESA (Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment) and the ESMF (Environmental and Social Management Framework)¹

<p>Progress on SESA/ESMF. <i>What is the country's progress in the development of the SESA/ESMF?</i></p>	
<p>Fit with SESA/ESMF. <i>Based on progress in the SESA/ESMF so far, is it likely that activities planned under the Program will rely on any of the frameworks or plans included or to be included in the ESMF?</i></p>	

8. Additional Social and Environmental Benefits

<p>Expected social and environmental benefits. <i>Please describe the environmental and social benefits, other than emission reductions, the Program is planning to achieve, and how they will be monitored.</i></p>	
---	--

9. Benefit-Sharing Mechanisms

<p>Description of envisioned benefit sharing mechanism for the ER Program. <i>Please, describe the types of benefit-sharing mechanisms that you would envision to use for this ER Program, and these mechanisms would address the drivers of deforestation.</i></p>	
<p>Progress on benefit-sharing mechanism. <i>Describe the progress made thus far in the discussion and preparation of the benefit sharing mechanism, and who has been participating in this process.</i></p>	

¹ Assessment of environmental and social risks and of potential impacts associated with the national REDD+ strategy options and planned activities should follow a work plan to comply with the World Bank's safeguard policies. The SESA is the assessment tool to be used in World Bank-supported countries for R-PP implementation and REDD+ readiness. An ESMF is a component of SESA that provides a framework to examine the issues and impacts associated with projects, activities, or policies/regulations that may occur in the future but are uncertain or not known at the present time.

10. Stakeholder Consultation and Participation	
Stakeholder engagement to date on the ER Program. <i>Please describe how key stakeholder groups have been involved in designing the ER Program.</i>	
Planned outreach and consultation process. <i>Please describe how key stakeholder groups will be involved in designing and implementing the ER-Program.</i>	
Dispute resolution mechanisms. <i>Please describe the mechanism(s) that will be put in place to resolve any disputes regarding the ER Program.</i>	

11. Reference Emission Level (REL) and Expected Emission Reductions	
Approach for establishing the REL. <i>Please briefly describe how the REL has been or will be established. For sub-national programs, describe how the approach for establishing the REL is consistent with the (emerging) national REL, or with the national approach establishing the REL.</i>	
Estimate of expected reference emission level. <i>Please provide an estimate of the REL for the program area.</i>	
Emission Reduction Goal. <i>Please provide an estimate of the expected impact of the Program on the reference emission level (in percentage terms).</i>	
Expected ERs. What is the volume of ERs, as expressed in tons of CO₂e during <i>(a) the lifetime of the Program;</i> <i>(b) per year; and</i> <i>(c) for a period of 10 years.</i>	
Volume proposed for the FCPF Carbon Fund. <i>Please explain the portion of the expected ERs propose for to the Carbon Fund to acquire, and if other carbon finance providers have been identified to date.</i>	

12. Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV)	
<p>Description of approach and capacity for measurement and reporting on ERs. <i>Please describe the approach for monitoring and reporting the emission reductions attributable to the Program including the capacity of the project entities to implement this approach.</i></p>	
<p>For sub-national programs, describe how the monitoring and reporting approach is consistent with the (emerging) national REDD+ MRV system.</p>	

13. Progress on REDD+ Readiness	
<p>Major achievements of readiness activities in country thus far. <i>Please refer to the main components of REDD+ Readiness, using the components categories of the R-PP as a guide.</i></p>	
<p>Time of submission of the Readiness Package to the FCPF PC (REL, REDD+ Strategy, MRV and ESMF).</p>	
<p>Next steps to finalize the ER Program implementation design (REL, MRV, financing, governance, etc.) and provide a rough timeline.</p>	

14. Financing plan (in US\$ million)		
<p>Uses: Expected Program costs</p>	U1. Preparation costs	
	U2. Implementation costs (please specify)	
	U3. Other costs (please explain)	
	U4. Total Program costs = U1 +U 2 +U 3	
<p>Sources: Funding to be sought or already identified</p>	S1. Investments (please name sources)	
	S2. Grants (please name sources)	
	S3. Loans (please name sources)	
	S4. Not identified = U4 - S1 - S2 - S3	