

Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

2b. Presentation of Revised R-PP Template; and PC10 Ad Hoc Technical Advisory Panels

Tenth Meeting of the Participants Committee (PC10)

Berlin, Germany October 18-19, 2011



R-PP Template Version 6: Adding Common Approach, and Harmonized with UN-REDD

- Version 6 updates December 22, 2010 draft Version 5 now in use.
- Common Approach to Multiple Delivery partners, including World Bank, agreed by PC in Oslo at PC9. CA now woven into template Version 6.
- Harmonization with UN-REDD into single R-PP document completed.
- Comments from UN, civil society, WB addressed: received during December 2010 present.



Significant Revisions Proposed in Version 6 Draft Template (1)

- Common Approach for Multiple Delivery Partners is summarized, and woven into text where needed. CA full text attached as new Annex.
- SESA and ESMF safeguards description and Table
 Overarching Guidelines 1 revised to further clarify the
 mainstreaming of SESA throughout the R-PP development
 and implementation processes. Widely discussed in the
 CA process.
- Revised "Guidelines on Stakeholder Engagement in REDD+ Readiness" and other annexes. These Guidelines are a joint FCPF – UN-REDD product.

Revisions Proposed in Version 6: (2)

- UN-REDD procedures and policies added throughout text,
 where vary from WB or Common Approach procedures
- Feedback and Grievance Mechanism at country level now included in component 1a on institutional arrangements.
 - Proposed requirement is early operational version of an existing or new mechanism.
 - To be available to handle requests for feedback or complaints early in R-PP implementation phase (after Preparation Grant has been received)

Revisions Proposed in Version 6: (3)

- Minor clarifications re WB use of free, prior and informed consultation, or UN-REDD use of FPIC (free, prior and informed consent)
- Gender considerations of women's, men's, and youth groups, and their roles in resource management now introduced throughout components. Follows WB and UN-REDD gender policies and initiatives.
 - Propose a gender risk and benefits assessment

Template Version 6 On FCPF Web Site Shortly

- Finishing internal review in WB, UN-REDD.
- Propose public comment: roughly end Oct. to mid Nov.
- Proposal: R-PPS for PC11 -- Countries could use either:
 - Draft December 22nd 2010 version 5 (reviewed against Version 5 standards)
 - Draft Version 6 (we urge use of this version, to pilot its use)
- Proposal: R-PPs for PC12 due in April should use Version 6, final draft after comment period



Schedule for R-PP Submission (tentative)

	Povisod P. DD. Final	DC Mooting:
	Revised R-PP, Final	PC Meeting:
R-PP Draft Received by FMT	TAP and PC Reviews	Tentative Dates
	on Website	
August 1, 2011 (New submission)		October 17-19, 2011
August 22, 2011 (Resubmission)	October 3	PC10, Berlin, Germany
December 15, 2011		March xxx, 2012
(New submission)	Early March,	
January 10, 2012 (Resubmission)	2012	PC 11, TBD
April 9, 2012 (New submission)	Early June,	June xxx, 2012
April 23, 2012 (Resubmission)	2012	
		PC 12, TBD
August 6, 2012 (New submission)	Early October,	June 20-22, 2011
	2012	
August 205, 2012 (Resubmission)		PC 12, TBD

Overview of Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) Review Process

- Continuing the established procedure, reviews of several country R-PPs for PC8 were conducted by:
 - 1) TAP country review teams
 - 2) Groups of PC members
- TAP Country R-PP Review Teams:
 - 5 to 8 individual experts nominated & included on FCPF Roster of Experts. Cross-disciplinary & regional expertise: forest policy, MRV
 - 2-3 in-country experts, including indigenous peoples expert
- Usually two lead reviewers (Northern and Southern): with TAP R-PP review experience, to ensure consistency

TAP and PC Review Methods

- TAP Review:
 - Individual reviews, using standard review template
 - Conference calls
 - Lead reviewers produces single synthesis review. Individual TAP experts comment
- TAP conference call with country on TAP draft review synthesis
- Country revises R-PP, showing changes made
- Final TAP country review synthesis, reflecting revisions to R-PP, posted on web
- PC review: usually 3 or more volunteers review R-PP submission in revised form after TAP comments. Posted on web.
- FMT has revised schedule for PC10 and onward, so PC only reviews the revised R-PP reflecting TAP comments

TAP Review Leaders at This Meeting

- Juergen Blaser (co-lead, CAR, Guatemala, Mozambique R-PPs)
 - Now professor, Swiss College of Agriculture (Switzerland)
- Stephen Cobb (co-lead, CAR, Colombia R-PPs, sustainability discussion)
 - Conservation and development consultant (UK)
- Jayant Sathaye (co-lead, Mozambique R-PP, sustainability discussion)
 - Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (US and India)
- Tomas Schlichter (co-lead, Colombia and Guatemala R-PPs)
 - Independent forest policy consultant (Argentina)
- TAP review team members not attending PC910 include
 - usually 3 in-country development or community experts from each country

Charge to the TAP Members

- Be objective, consistent and fair.
- Provide constructive recommendations for enhancement of R-PPs by the country, and expert advice on REDD.
- Serve in individual capacity, not representing an organization.
- Our thanks to the TAP members.

