

Re-summary of Liberia PC Reviewers Assessment: This June review updates previous reviews of February/March, 2011 and May, 2011 (undertaken by European Commission, Nepal, Norway and Uganda (lead)).

Standard	Summary of attainment of the standard in March 2011	Summary of attainment of the standard in May 2011	Summary of Attainment of Standard in June 2011	Comments
Standard 1a: National Readiness Management Arrangements:	Standard Partially met.	Standard partially met	Standard met , provided remaining comments are addressed	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need to elaborate TORs for Capacity building so as to ensure that critical capacity needs are identified and capacity building program is designed and budgeted for appropriately 2. Summary information in table 1a isn't clear without a description of the activity plans. This could be included in text immediately before the table.
Standard 1b: Information Sharing and Early Dialogue with Key Stakeholder Groups	Standard Partially met.	Standard partially met	Standard met , provided remaining comments are addressed	Summary activity budget in Table 1b would be more elaborated in text prior to the table to describe the activities and relevance in relation to what has been reported to have been achieved to-date
Standard 1c: Consultation and Participation Process	Standard partially met.	Standard partially met	Standard met , but Liberia could consider addressing these comments	This section would be enhanced by including TORs for developing i) Consultations and participation Strategy and Plan, ii) Awareness and communication strategy and Plan; and, iii) Mechanism for addressing conflicts and grievances
Standard 2.a: Assessment of Land Use, Forest Policy, and Governance:	Standard not met.	'Standard partially met'	Standard largely met , and Liberia could consider addressing these comments	Look and complete the May comments. Secondly, the summary activities would be made clearer if the TORs for completing the Assessment of Land use, Policy and forest governance were included in the R-PP. These TORS would show how the various activities in the table contribute to completion of the assessment.

Standard 2.b: REDD strategy Options:	Standard not met.	Standard partially met'	Standard met, but Liberia could consider addressing these comments	1. Include TORs for elaborating on the process for completing the REDD Strategy Options. These TORs would also show how the various activities in Table 3b link up together. 2. The Component should also show links to the component 1c whereby the Consultations and participation plans would support the development/finalization of the REDD Strategy Options. 3. There is need to also show how process of completing REDD Strategy options links with component 2d
Standard 2.c: REDD implementation framework:	Standard not met	Standard not met	Standard met, but Liberia could consider addressing these comments	There is need for TORs to elaborate on the process for completing the design of Implementation framework as required in the guidelines
Standard 2.d: Assessment of social and environmental impacts:	Standard met.	Standard met.	Standard met.	
Standard 3: Reference scenario:	Standard Partially met.	'Standard met'	Standard met	
Standard 4a: Emissions and Removals	Standard Partially met.	'Standard met'	Standard met	
Standard 4b: Other Multiple Benefits, Impacts, and Governance:	Standard not met.	'Standard met'	Standard met	
Standard 5: Completeness of information and resource requirements:	Standard partially met	Standard partially met	Standard Partially met, and Liberia could consider addressing these comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The budget estimates do not show costs for capacity building and legislation process. There is need to confirm whether these costs are embedded in respective sections. 2. The budget includes little detail and is therefore hard to comment on.

Standard 6: Design a Program Monitoring and Evaluation Framework :	Standard met.	Standard met	Standard met	
--	---------------	--------------	--------------	--