



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

FCPF Evaluation: Progress

FMT Update to the Carbon Fund

Paris, June 19-22, 2017

Presented by Neeta Hooda

Role of the Oversight Committee:

- On behalf of the Participants Committee, the Evaluation Oversight Committee is responsible for managing and supervising the evaluation to ensure quality and timely conduct of evaluation, and dissemination of findings;
- Following report endorsement, the OC is responsible for preparing the draft action plan on report recommendations for PC feedback and adoption;
- OC Presented update at PC23.

Update since PC22 (Ghana):

At PC22

- Final Second Evaluation Report presented - Key findings, conclusions and recommendations by Evaluation Team (Indufor) remotely;
- Initial reactions from PC on Report Conclusions and Recommendations were solicited;
- Preliminary ideas on actions to implement from the Report Recommendations were held in a discussion group;
- PC authorized OC to endorse the joint response to recommendations on behalf of the PC.

Update since PC22 (Ghana):

After PC22 and before PC23

- Final Evaluation report together with OC cover Note finalized; Report translated to Spanish and French;
- Complete Report and Executive Summary made available to PC; posted on FCPF website;
- OC led preparation of draft Action Plan with FMT support to implement Report Recommendations;
- Action Plan shared with PC for comments and adoption in November 2016;
- FMT in consultation with Delivery Partners and OC prepared the FMT Notes/ Presentations for priority recommendations to be considered at PC23 for decision/feedback;
- OC presented progress update on action steps on various recommendations to PC at PC23 in March 2017.

A photograph of a lush, dense tropical forest. The foreground and middle ground are filled with various shades of green trees and foliage. In the background, a range of blue-toned mountains stretches across the horizon under a clear sky. The text is overlaid in the center of the image.

**Draft Action Plan for next steps on
Recommendations (FMT Note 2017-2)
-Highlights-C Fund relevant
recommendations**

Draft Action Plan for next steps on Recommendations (FMT Note 2017-2)

The Final Second FCPF Evaluation reports made a total of 17 Recommendation as below:

- 4 Recommendations relevant to Readiness Fund
- 4 Recommendations relevant to Carbon Fund
- 8 Recommendations relevant to Both Funds
- 1 Recommendation relevant to Future Evaluation

Given linkages between recommendations, relevant recommendations have been grouped in the Action Plan for consideration of decisions/actions moving forward.

Recommendation/s Relevant C Fund and status of proposed next steps

- **R1 (CF)** Review the Methodological Framework and, if relevant, align it with UNFCCC/IPCC methods/systems and guidance with the aim of reducing any additional reporting burdens on REDD Countries for both the Carbon Fund and UNFCCC. Present the results at a PC meeting and Carbon Fund Meeting with an accompanying report.

- **Next Steps**

FMT will prepare an information note assessing the commonalities and differences in the principles of the Methodological Framework with the other standards such as UNFCCC and once the GCF guidance on REDD+ is finalized. The Joint response noted that countries are in the process of applying the Methodological Framework, and MF is reviewed continuously, as was done in June 2016.

Recommendation/s Relevant C Fund and status of proposed next steps

- **R2 (CF)** Include detailed guidance on how to manage consultations during the ER-PIN formulation process, focusing on targeted consultations. The detailed guidance should be presented in the ER-PIN template and as a guidance note that other programs could also use.
- **Next Steps**

Joint Response had agreed to recommendations recognizing ongoing efforts for SESA support; agreed to explore need for guidance for consultations on ER-PD (rather than ER-PIN).

At PC23: FMT presented actions and approach on this issue.

Recommendation/s Relevant C Fund and status of proposed next steps

- **R3 (CF)** Create a private sector program designed to improve private sector engagement, to leverage and scale up private sector commitment and participation in the FCPF. The private sector program could, for example, develop a linkage with the Science Based Targets Initiative. The FCPF could operate the program under the umbrella of the Green Climate Fund's Private Sector Facility, for instance, or another organization that is resourced to reach out and engage effectively with the private sector. Step up efforts to establish direct partnerships with multinational companies, going beyond consultation for the implementation of Emission Reduction Programs. Provide a clear business case for attracting private sector interest.

- **Next Steps**

FMT convened a webex meeting of Carbon Fund Participants in Feb 2017 including OC members to brainstorm/ seek early feedback on strategy for private sector engagement. FMT prepared a discussion paper defining the purpose, scope and means of private sector engagement. Tracy will present update.

Recommendation/s Relevant C Fund and status of proposed next steps

- **R4 (CF)** Revise the Charter to reduce the minimum threshold of USD 5 million for entry into the Carbon Fund in order to attract interest from smaller potential contributors.
- **Next Steps**

The joint response to recommendations disagreed with this recommendation. No further action is needed.

4 Recommendation/s Relevant to C Fund and Readiness Fund discussed at PC23

- **R1. (RF&CF): Strengthen the alignment of Delivery Partner country engagement strategies and the countries' REDD+ agendas.**
- **R2. (RF&CF): Continue providing country-tailored technical support to REDD Countries.**
- **R3. (RF&CF): Consolidate the reporting system of the FCPF.**
- **R4. (RF&CF): Change the Delivery Partner of the IP and CSO Capacity Building Program and overhaul the Program.**
- **R5. (RF&CF): Formulate and implement a Gender Mainstreaming Strategy.**

4 Recommendation/s Relevant to C Fund and Readiness Fund discussed at PC23

- R6. (RF&CF): REDD Countries should **continue working to involve multi-sectoral stakeholders in dialogues and institutional arrangements for REDD+**, especially when preparing and implementing Emission Reduction Programs. Present success cases of multi-sectoral actors within institutions and in dialogues at PC meetings.
- R7. (RF&CF): **Design and implement a Final Knowledge Sharing and Communications Strategy.** R4. (RF&CF): **Change the Delivery Partner of the IP and CSO Capacity Building Program and overhaul the Program.**
- R8. (RF&CF): **Revise the M&E Framework of the FCPF.**

An aerial photograph of a vast, dense forest. The trees are mostly green, with some brownish patches indicating dead or dormant trees. In the upper right corner, a small body of water is visible. The overall scene is a lush, green landscape.

Thank You!

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org