

Please Provide Contact Information:

Participant Details:	
Contact Country:	Mexico
Contact Name 1:	Miguel Angel Abaid Sanabria
Title 2:	Head of the International Affairs and Financial Promotion Unit
Contact Name 2:	Ana Karla Perea Blázquez
Title 2:	Negotiation Director
Contact Email:	miguel.abaid@conafor.gob.mx
Alternate Email:	aperea@conafor.gob.mx
Contact Telephone:	+52(33)3777-7000 IP. 1710
Alternate Telephone:	+52(33)3777-7000 IP. 1701
Submission Date:	August 15



1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Introduction to the report, its main purpose and sections. Short description of FCPF support in country.

The main objective of the FCPF in Mexico is to support its preparation process, financing the development of a participatory and inclusive analytical process for finalizing the National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+).

The FCPF has the following 3 expected results:

1. A final version of ENAREDD+ reflects the comments and feedback from the various sectors and stakeholders.
2. A technically strong ESMF has been finalized and validated by a broad range of stakeholders.
3. A state-level comprehensive feedback and grievance mechanism for REDD+ is piloted in one Early Action Area.

The FCPF support is limited to analytical studies, capacity building, and consultation processes at the national and sub-national levels.

The FCPF operation will be articulated into two Components:

(i) Component 1: Organization and Consultation, including the following sub-components: 1a. National Readiness Management Arrangements; and 1b. Stakeholders Consultation and Participation.

(ii) Component 2: Development of the REDD+ Strategy, including the following sub-components: 2a. Assessment of Land Use, Forest Policy and Governance; 2b. REDD+ Strategy Options; 2c. REDD+ Feedback and Grievance Mechanism, and 2d. Social and Environmental Impacts Assessment

2. SUMMARY OF REPORT

Summary of progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period -- **key bullets only**


Progress:

The FCPF Readiness Preparation grant will fund activities related to the Construction of the National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+), regarding this Mexico has been consistent and made major progress on:

1. National REDD+ Strategy Preparation
 - Reviewed draft that incorporates inputs and feedback from stakeholders
 - Strengthening of participative platforms
 - Alignment with other participation processes

Key Achievements with focus on higher level results:

Important issues /problems that arose during the reporting period:



Highlights of next steps in the following period:

- A targeted and culturally-appropriate communication and consultation process of the ENAREDD+ with a particular attention on vulnerable stakeholder groups (i.e. indigenous peoples, women and youth)
- In-depth analysis of potential adverse impacts of REDD+ strategic options: critical themes (such as carbon rights and benefit-sharing) have already been identified in the third draft of the ENAREDD+
- Preparation of an ESMF including mitigation measures to the identified potential risks
- Design and pilot of a grievance and redress mechanism for REDD+

3. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD

The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention **“does not apply – n/a”**.

3.1 PROGRESS AT THE IMPACT LEVEL (if any data available)

Please provide here any quantitative and qualitative information, if available on the following criteria/indicators.

n/a

Number of tons of CO2 emissions from deforestation and forest degradation reduced in the country during the reporting period as compared to the measured REL/RL, if any :

National Forest Reference Emission Level (REL)/Reference Level (RL) defined:

n/a

Number of tons reduced during the reporting period as compared to REL/RL:

n/a

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process:

Source:	<Select>	Amount Provided:
n/a	Currency	
<u>free text</u>	Currency	
<u>free text</u>	Currency	

Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant:

Source:	<Select>	Amount Provided:
n/a	Currency	
	Currency	
	Currency	
	Currency	
	Currency	

Level of multi-stakeholder participation and engagement in decision making processes related to emission reductions and forest resource management:

Please describe the process of engagement and consultation implemented, including describing the level of participation and engagement for the following stakeholder categories: Government Agencies, Indigenous Peoples, Other forest-dependent peoples, Women, Youth, Civil Society Organizations, Private Entities, Donors, and Others

The CTC-REDD+ was formally established on May 13, 2010 and brought together over 60 civil society and producers organizations, academic and governmental institutions to discuss REDD issues and provide inputs into the policy making process. This multi-stakeholder technical advisory committee was created as an open body for consultation and feedback for citizen participation. The CTC-REDD meets regularly to discuss the preparation of the national REDD strategy and other related programs.

Additionally, on July 30 of 2013, a National REDD Strategy Working Group (GT-ENAREDD) in the Consejo Nacional Forestal (CONAF) was created to discuss the information contained in the third draft of the National REDD Strategy. The GT-ENAREDD has participated actively in providing feedback on the second draft of the ENAREDD+ document.

The FCPF readiness grant activities and the SESA work plan were presented to the main participative platforms: On December 16th, 2013 were presented to the REDD+ National Technical Advisory Council (CTC), on November 8th of 2013 to the REDD+ State Strategy Work Group of the CTC, and on February 10th of 2014 to the ENAREDD+ Work Group of the National Forest Council (CONAF).

In 2014, the "round table for Indigenous and community consultation" (*Mesa campesina e indígena para la consulta de la ENAREDD+*) was created. This work space aims to provide feedback on the consultation process and to participate in the construction of the consultation Plan.

No. and type of policy reforms underway or completed complying to REDD+ standards, if any (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.3.B.):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are: <Select from dropdown list>

Underway:	#	n/a
	#	
	#	
	#	
Completed:	#	n/a
	#	
	#	

Please describe these policy reforms:

Do not apply

3.2 PROGRESS AT THE OUTPUT and OUTCOME LEVEL (if any data available)

3.2.1. REDD Readiness Progress

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.):

Briefly describe progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

n/a

OUTPUT LEVEL

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)

Component	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
Component 1. Readiness Organization and Consultation	
1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING

1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	Yes
Component 2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation	
2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Yes
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
2c. Implementation Framework	Yes
2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
Component 3. REL/RL	
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	No
Component 4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	
4a. National Forest Monitoring System	No
4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	No

Level of implementation of R-PP as a whole:	
<u>Please describe the current R-PP</u>	<u>% Completed:</u>
<p>The FCPF will support the construction of the final version of ENAREDD+ which will reflect the comments and feedback from the various sectors and stakeholders.</p> <p>In this respect, since 2010, Mexico has been working on the participatory development of its National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+). That same year the CICC published Mexico's Vision on REDD+: Towards a National Strategy, which included key targets and definitions that guided the development of the strategy.</p> <p>Subsequently, in November 2011, Elements for the Design of the National REDD+ Strategy (ENAREDD+), which set the targets for 2020, was prepared and published. Further improvements have been made to this document based on feedback in the CTC-REDD+ and input and comments from the CICC GT-REDD+, and a new version of ENAREDD+ was prepared and presented in October 2012 (draft 1).</p> <p>In 2013, this draft was submitted to a feedback process with stakeholders via the existing national and state platforms such as the National CTC, the state CTCs, the CONAF ENAREDD+ Working Group, the GT-REDD+ and the CICC. In July of that year, a new version of ENAREDD+ (draft 2) was presented. In 2014, the last draft had been presented to the CONAF ENAREDD+ Working Group and comments and necessary amendments are being made to create an inclusive and comprehensive instrument for submission to a national consultation process.</p> <p>In 2014, the "round table for Indigenous and community consultation" (Mesa campesina e indígena para la consulta de la ENAREDD+) was created. This work space aims to provide feedback on the consultation process and to participate in the construction of the consultation Plan.</p>	

Level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.8 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3b):		
Planned Milestones:	Level of Achievement:	Tracking:
Consultation Plan: guiding document defining the plan for the national consultation	Further Development Required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input checked="" type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable
A final version of ENAREDD+ reflecting the comments and feedback from the various sectors and stakeholders.	Progressing well, further development required	
A state-level comprehensive feedback and grievance mechanism for REDD+ is piloted in one Early Action Area.	Not yet demonstrating progress	

3.2.1. CONTINUED Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in %

RF Grant - disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage:		
	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
RF Grant - actual disbursement rate compared to planned disbursement rate	10%	<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage:		
	Select Actual Disbursement Rate	Tracking
R-PP Budget - disbursement rate v planned disbursement rate	10%	<input type="radio"/> Up to 10% variance with plans <input type="radio"/> Between 10 and 25% variance <input type="radio"/> Between 25 and 40% variance <input type="radio"/> More than 40% variance <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable

3.2.1. REDD Progress Levels - Continued

Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement (additional grant of up to \$5 million). Under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

The level of achievement of planned milestones according to the Readiness grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement. This is qualitatively expressed with a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, "Non Applicable" can be selected.

The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework. The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

Sub-Component 1a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking*
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
1a - National REDD+ Management Arrangements Purpose: setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies Assessment Criteria: (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism	Participative process for integrating and disseminating the final draft of the ENAREDD		3 working sessions of the ENAREDD+ Working Group of the National Forestry Council	2 working sessions of the ENAREDD+ Working Group of the National Forestry Council	
			4 events and meetings of the Technical and Consultative Committee (CTC) for REDD+	1 meeting of the Technical and Consultative Committee (CTC) for REDD+	
			Workshop for evaluating the content of the ENAREDD+ in which the orientations of REDD+ in Mexico and ENAREDD+	1 workshop	

Sub-Component 1b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
1b - Consultation, Participation, and Outreach Purpose: broad consultation with and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+ programs, to ensure participation of different social groups, transparency and accountability of decision-making and engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv) implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes	Dissemination of REDD by producers' associations through the Dissemination of ENAREDD related information		Local organizations disseminate in	The call for applications was launched in June, the evaluation	
	Systematization of comments and information about consultation		5 culturally-relevant and user-frier	First draft of the ENAREDD+ website (http://www.enaredd.gob.mx/)	
				Result expected in 2015	

Sub-Component 2a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance Purpose: identify key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable forest management, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks Assessment Criteria: (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource rights, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy	Studies, analytical work and dialogues for the preparation of new states		Analysis of drivers of deforestation in 3 new regions	To be finalized in 2015	
	Systematization of experiences and lessons learned in REDD+ Early Action areas		1 document	result expected in 2015	

Sub-Component 2b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
2b: REDD+ Strategy Options Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation Assessment Criteria: (i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectoral policies	Analysis of the strategic options (action lines) of the ENAREDD+		Final report	Result expected in 2015	

Sub-Component 2c	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
2c: Implementation Framework Purpose: Set out credible and transparent institutional, economic, legal and governance arrangements necessary to implement REDD+ strategy options Assessment Criteria: (i) adoption and implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDD+ registry and system monitoring REDD+ activities	Analysis and design of the feedback and grievance mechanism		final report	result expected in 2015	
	Pilot of the feedback and grievance mechanism in one Early Action Area		1 pilot conducted	result expected in 2015	

Sub-Component 2d	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
2d: Social and Environmental Impacts Purpose: Ensure compliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) Assessment Criteria: (i) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework	Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA) report		final report	Result expected in 2015	
	Development of a comprehensive Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)		final ESMF	Result expected in 2015	

Component 3	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/PPCC guidance and guidelines	N/A				

Sub-Component 4a	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
4a: National Forest Monitoring System Purpose: Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to enhance the system over time Assessment Criteria: (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii) institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests	N/A				

Sub-Component 4b	Overall Progress		Progress Against Annual Targets		Tracking
	Planned Milestones	Cumulative Progress Towards Milestones	Expected Annual Target	Achievements of the Annual Target	
4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards Purpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring by the country Assessment Criteria: (i) identification of relevant non-carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii) monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii) Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards	N/A				

*This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework. The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

3.2.3. Engagement of stakeholders to sustain or enhance livelihoods of local communities and to conserve biodiversity within the approach to REDD+

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please describe indicators related to biodiversity conservation and forest community livelihood development included in the ER Program if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework indicator 3.A.):

Amount provided:	Currency	n/a
Please describe how these funds target biodiversity and forest community livelihood development:	Does not apply	

Please provide relevant examples on the inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.):

Examples of inherent social and biodiversity benefits of REDD+:

REDD+ activities are expected to have significant positive impacts on natural habitats. The ENAREDD+ establishes that the rural sustainable development seeks a "comprehensive improvement of social welfare and economic activities in the territory according to the applicable provisions, ensuring the preservation of natural resources, biodiversity and the ecosystem services of the territory."

Additionally, the objective of REDD+ in Mexico consists in reducing emissions of GEI from deforestation and forest degradation and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks, contributing to the conservation of the forest biodiversity, and with the warranty of the application and effective accomplishment of the Safeguards and principles in this strategy and in the current legal framework.

OUTPUT LEVEL

Number of examples of actions where Ips, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):

#:	Please describe these actions on enhanced livelihoods and BD conservation, and restoration where Ips, CSO, and local communities participate actively:
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>The readiness grant does not finance specific actions on enhanced livelihoods and biodiversity conservation, however the National REDD+ Strategy seeks to improve the social welfare of the population and the development of economic activities in the territory ensuring the permanent conservation of natural resources, biodiversity and ecosystem services.</p> <p>The ENAREDD+ recognizes and respects the rights of the communities to do sustainable manage of forest resources, but overall, the recognition that only with its participation, the preservation of forest, its ecosystem services, and the enormous biologic diversity would be possible.</p>
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Number of IPs, REDD Country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):				
Please list the training(s) conducted:	Duration (#of days)	# of participants		Rating
		# of men	# of women	
n/a				<input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable

Frequency of meetings of stakeholder engagement platforms (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):	
Frequency:	Rating
By 2014, the goal is to conduct three working sessions with the GT-ENAREDD+ of the CONAF, hold 4 meetings of the National CTC in order to provide feedback to the ENAREDD+; disseminate REDD+ mechanism and the ENAREDD+ and integrate the final draft of the National Strategy for REDD in Mexico.	5

Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.b.):	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at maintaining or enhancing livelihoods of local communities:
No	n/a

Does your country R-Package (within the national REDD+ strategies and the monitoring systems) and/or ER Program include activities aiming at conserving biodiversity (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.c.)?	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
No	n/a

Does your country R-Package and/or ER Program include SESA, an operational Grievance Mechanism, and an	
Yes/No:	Please describe these activities aiming at conserving biodiversity:
No	n/a

3.2.4 Knowledge Sharing

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support?	
Yes/No:	If yes, please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:
No	1 n/a
	2
	3
	4
	...

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any?	
Overall number by product:	Please provide a list of the published REDD+ knowledge products if any, during the reporting period:

Knowledge Product 1:	
# Total People Reached	0
# of Men	
# of Women	
Knowledge Product 2:	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	
Knowledge Product 3:	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	
Knowledge Product 4:	
# Total People Reached	
# of Men	
# of Women	

Have some experts of your country participated in any South-South learning activities? If yes, how many?			
Yes/No	List the South-South	# Men	# Women
No	n/a		
Yes/No			
Yes/No			
Yes/No			

3.2.2. Key Elements of performance based payment systems for emission reductions generated from REDD+ activities

OUTCOME LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:

Are carbon accounting, programmatic elements and pricing operating as planned in your pilot, if relevant?

n/a	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development <input type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Is the benefit sharing scheme being implemented according to plans within your pilot, if relevant?

n/a	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development required <input type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
-----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Percentage and/or amount of monetary benefits shared with beneficiaries in approved pilot, if relevant?

n/a	<p style="text-align: center;">Tracking</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <input type="radio"/> Significant progress <input type="radio"/> Progressing well, further development <input type="radio"/> Further development required <input type="radio"/> Not yet demonstrating progress <input checked="" type="radio"/> Not Applicable </div>
-----	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

OUTPUT LEVEL

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly specify:	
Has your country submitted early ideas or ER-Program to the Carbon Fund and or others?	
<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Please briefly describe the content of these early ideas or ER-Program:</u>
Yes	<p>In CF7 (june 2013) Mexico presented its early ideas for a potential ER Program. CFPs welcomed the high quality of the proposal, and offered feedback on how to improve the early idea with a view to an ER-PIN presentation at CF9.</p> <p>During the 9th Carbon Fund meeting Mexico presented its ER-PIN and resulted selected into the Carbon Fund pipeline. The CF, allocated up to \$650,000 for development of an ER-Program Document, and authorized the Trustee to negotiate a Letter of Intent (LOI).</p>

Has your country signed an ERPA?	
<u>Yes/No</u>	<u>Please briefly describe the content of this ERPA:</u>
No	n/a

Amount and date of disbursements for ER Program according to plans, if relevant:			
<i>Date format:</i>	<i>mm/dd/yyyy</i>		<Select>
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency
			n/a
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency
Date:		Amount provided:	Currency

4. Issues Challenges and Risks

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

Issue, Challenge, Risk	Actions to overcome, Adjustments to plan
	n/a

5. Main Lessons Learned

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learnt since the beginning of the readiness process. As this is a semi-annual report, it is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learning documents, and/or events developed and dealing with issues of particular interest with respect to readiness of carbon funding under REDD+.

n/a
