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Carbon Fund process guidelines (CF 4)

1. ER-PIN submitted (REDD Country or authorized entity)
2. ER-PIN reviewed + selected into pipeline (CFPs and World Bank)
3. Letter of Intent signed (REDD Country/authorized entity and World Bank)
4. Draft ER-Program Document reviewed (REDD Country/authorized entity and CFPs)
5. ER-PD submitted + selected into CF portfolio (Carbon Fund Participants)
6. ERPA Negotiation + Signing (World Bank and Carbon Fund Participants)
7. Implementation, verification, payments (Carbon Fund Participants and REDD+ country/authorized entity)

Readiness Package (submitted by REDD+ Country, endorsed by PC)
ER Program Due Diligence Assessment in accordance with Carbon Fund’s Methodological Framework (TAP)
ER-PD template: process so far

- **CF 8:** zero draft of the template was discussed for first feedback
- **January 15:** Revised draft was shared with CFPs and REDD Countries that have shown interest in the FCPF Carbon Fund for written feedback by February 15
  - Comments received from: Costa Rica, Canada, EC, Germany and team members in the FMT
- **CF 9:** CFPs are invited to provide final guidance and agree if the template can be used for ER-PD submissions
Comments received: purpose of the ER-PD

• Current template elaborates information requested in the ER-PIN

• Requests different types of information to get the ‘full picture’, including (but not limited to) information required to assess if the requirements of the Methodological Framework have been met.

• Suggestion that the sole focus of the ER-PD should be to demonstrate “conformity” with the MF
  • In that case the structure of the ER-PD should simply follow the structure from the Methodological Framework

Discussion

• Should the template be revised to only demonstrate the conformity with the Criteria and Indicators from the MF?

• If so, is there any information in the current template that is helpful and would need to be included in other documents?
Comments received: consistency of guidance

• Current template provides guidance for each section

• Guidance often builds on guidance from the MF but is not literal copy-and-paste of the C&I (although it refers to the relevant sections of the MF for the full guidance)

• Suggestion that the current guidance provide in the ER-PD is slightly different from the literal C&I in the MF and this could lead to confusion for countries trying to provide the necessary information

Discussion

• For the sections that are associated with the MF, should the template just refer to the relevant section of the MF without providing guidance text?
Next steps

• Changes made to the template to take into accounts comments received → track changes version and clean version are available at the CF 9 webpage

• Based on the experiences with reviewing the ER-PINs, CFPs might want to take a fresh look at the ER-PD template

• The FMT proposes to have a follow up conference call to collect further inputs from the CFPs

• Aim would be to finalize the template at CF 10 in June
THANK YOU!
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