



FCPF Facility Management Team

Mexico Revised R-PP

Completeness Check by FMT

Mexico submitted a Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) to the Facility Management Team (FMT) in February 2010, which was reviewed by a Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) and a working group consisting of Participants Committee (PC) members established for this purpose.

The PC reviewed the R-PP in accordance with Section 11.1 (b) of the Charter Establishing the FCPF (Charter) at its fifth meeting in March, 2010 in La Lope, Gabon; and acknowledged the extensive efforts made by Mexico, and the high quality of the R-PP. The PC decided, through **Resolution PC/5/2010/2, to** allocate funding to Mexico to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness. For this purpose, the resolution requested Mexico to submit a revised R-PP (Revised R-PP) to the FMT, reflecting the key issues in the summary report prepared by the FMT included in the annex of this resolution.

In the following, the FMT presents the results of the completeness check. This summary will be posted on the FCPF website and FMT will notify the PC of its availability.

The Revised Version of the R-PP template was available in Spanish language at the time of the completeness check.

The following were the key issues that Mexico needed to address in the Revised Version of the R-PP before entering into a Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement with the World Bank as Delivery Partner.

- 1. The roles of the non-forest sector institutions in the REDD national management structure need to be clarified to ensure that those institutions are adequately involved.**

This concern has been addressed in component 1a of the R-PP, which describes, not only the roles of the cross-sectoral groups created to secure the involvement of different sectors in the REDD management structure (pages 7-8), but also, the roles of the main institutions that conform these groups (page 9). For example: The section describes the creation of the Inter-Ministerial Commission on Climate Change (CICC), an inter-sectoral body which provides institutional support for the design and the implementation of the national REDD+ strategy. It also explains how the REDD Working Group (REDD WG)—composed of different government agencies—, provides inputs to the CICC and receives advice

from the REDD Technical Advisory Committee (CTC-REDD) — a cross sectoral group that comprises: NGOs, indigenous peoples, forest community-based organizations, the academic sector, and government institutions.

Completeness confirmed. The FMT has compared the request from PC through the resolution and finds the reply satisfactory.

2. Component 2c of the R-PP on the implementation framework needs to be further elaborated to meet the standards of this component.

The R-PP now includes the guiding principles for the REDD implementation framework (p. 36). It also presents in detail the implementation agreements and the activities necessary to establish the framework. (pp. 36-41).

Completeness confirmed. The new text is a substantive improvement compared to the previous version and answers the request of the resolution.

3. Initial engagement on the R-PP with representatives of key stakeholder groups should include Indigenous Peoples.

This request has been addressed in different components. First, in Component **1b** the revised R-PP determines that the consultation strategy will involve the participation of key stakeholder groups, including indigenous peoples. It specifically proposes a consultation strategy that will make use of existing and institutionalized mechanisms for consultations such as the different sectoral Advisory Councils. Among these, the *Consejo Consultivo* of the CDI (*Comisión Nacional para el Desarrollo de los Pueblos Indígenas*) will be used to conduct culturally adequate consultations with indigenous peoples (pages 13- 15).

Then, in component **2d**, the R-PP describes that Mexico has carried out a regional and a national SESA workshop, both with the objective of providing key stakeholders an opportunity to give inputs on the specific issues and concerns that affect them, so they can be taken into consideration by CONAFOR during the Readiness Phase. The R-PP also outlines the next steps of the SESA process, and reaffirms that the SESA workplan will be based on the same principles than those of the consultation strategy. (pages 50-51).

Finally, component **1a** mentions that the CTC is a platform open to any interested civil society organization, indigenous and local communities among those included. (pages 8-9). Thus, it is a space where representatives of key stakeholder groups can be actively involved in the formulation of the National REDD strategy.



A follow-up group was created from the SESA workshops. This group will join the CTC to encourage enhance greater participation of organizations representing regional and local forest communities. The R-PP states that the creation of regional and state level CTCs-REDD is desirable and will be promoted in order to extend stakeholder participation (page 46).

Completeness confirmed. FMT has checked the changes made in the revised version and finds them in line with the request of resolution PC/5/2010/2.

Washington, March 23, 2013