



PC Review

Honduras R-PP

Canada, European Commission, Germany
PC14, Washington DC, March 2013

General comments

- 2 PC reviews: October 2012 (informal) and March 2013
- Very comprehensive proposal, much improved from earlier versions
- Responds well to most earlier comments, however not all standards are met at the same level of detail
- Progress towards meeting the standards:
 - 5 standards are met (1a-c, 3, 4a)
 - 7 standards are largely met (2a-d, 4b, 5, 6)

Strenghts

- Clear and inclusive institutional architecture – new proposal shows additional efforts to enhance participation of non indigenous stakeholders (1a)
- REDD+ aims to build on existing national strategies and processes – new proposal provides additional information on non-forest sector policies' impact and ways to reconcile sector policies (2b)
- SESA well integrated into other components (2d)
 - > but work plans do not include elaboration of ESMF
- Ambitious plans for reference level and monitoring system (3, 4a)
 - > but capacity building needs identified still focus on short-term rather than long-term needs

Areas for further improvement

- Unclear whether specific institutional arrangement is defined for conflict resolution. Early R-PP implementation should include assessment of how to build on existing mechanisms and ensuring independence from executive REDD+ body (*Junta Directiva*) (1a, 2c)
- Opposition to REDD+ and the R-PP still exists from some indigenous and afro-Honduran peoples. We would welcome continued efforts to engage with these groups to see how their concerns can be addressed (1b-c)
- Little additional information on land titling irregularities (2a)
- Unclear how linkages between the REDD+ and FLEGT process could be pursued and translated into action

Areas for further improvement

- Alignment of drivers with strategy options; preliminary prioritisation of drivers and strategy options (2b)
- More specific plan or table of action for the REDD+ implementation framework (2c)
- National REDD+ registry and REDD+ information management system (2c)
- Monitoring system overlooking governance aspects (4b)
- Clarification on external sources expected to contribute to the overall budget (5)