



Completeness Check for Honduras R-PP dated August 1, 2013

FCPF Facility Management Team, August 1, 2013

Honduras submitted a Readiness Preparation Proposal (R-PP) to the Facility Management Team (FMT) in October 2012, which was reviewed by a Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) and a working group consisting of Participants Committee (PC) members established for this purpose.

The PC reviewed the R-PP in accordance with Section 11.1 (b) of the Charter Establishing the FCPF (Charter) at its fourteenth meeting in March 2013 in Washington, D.C.; and acknowledged the extensive efforts made by Honduras, and the high quality of the R-PP. The PC decided, through **Resolution PC/14/2013/5** to allocate funding to Honduras to enable it to move ahead with the preparation for readiness. For this purpose, the resolution requested Honduras to submit a revised R-PP (Revised R-PP) to the FMT, reflecting the key issues in the summary report prepared by the FMT included in the annex of this resolution.

In the following, the FMT presents the results of the completeness check. This summary will be posted on the FCPF website and FMT will notify the PC of its availability.

The Revised Version follows the R-PP template version no 6. It was presented in Spanish language at the time of the completeness check.

The following lists the key issues that Honduras needed to address in the Revised Version of the R-PP before entering into a Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement with UNDP as Delivery Partner, and how Honduras addresses the issues in the revised R-PP. The FCPF FMT has verified that the revised R-PP complies with the requirement as formulated in the resolution. Completeness confirmed.

Key issues identified in PC Resolution PC/14/2013/5	Responses in the revised R-PP	FMT Comments
1. Further elaborate the work plan for REDD+ implementation framework in component 2 c., by identifying additional activities as relevant, and by specifying institutions and their respective roles.	The work plan for REDD+ implementation framework has been further elaborated. The "Implementation Framework of the R-PP", previously presented as one component of the "Summary of Activities and Budget", is now broken down into five activities, including: (i) establishment of national coordination bodies; (ii) stakeholder mapping and analysis; (iii) design of a process to address safeguard issues; (iv) design and carry out consultations; and (v) share the R-PP with relevant stakeholders and a broader	Item complete

	<p>audience.</p> <p>The institutions and coordinating bodies responsible for undertaking each of these five activities have been indicated. The Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (SERNA), the Institute for Forestry Conservation (ICF1), and the Indigenous and Afro-descendent Committee for Climate Change (MIACC1) are the main actors. SERNA, in collaboration with GIZ and PNUD will lead the stakeholder mapping and analysis. The safeguard system will be developed by a new committee to be formed under the Sub-committee REDD+. The MIACC, in coordination with the Sub-Committee REDD+, will design the consultation with indigenous peoples and Afro-descendent groups; other parties will be involved in consultation design, following representation protocols.</p> <p>In addition, the team incorporated new activities in a work plan that is now presented in a logical planning framework fashion. The team defined Indicators and verifiers for each of activity. For instance, the stakeholder mapping and analysis is expected to be completed by mid-2013; safeguards issues will be communicated to relevant stakeholders by the end of 2013; the plan for consultation will be finalized by the end of 2014 and its implementation will be launched by the end of first quarter of 2015. Other activities of the work plan were also planned in more detail and included in the logical planning framework.</p>	
<p>2. Provide additional information on the process of developing the future national REDD+ registry.</p>	<p>The new version of the R-PP outlines elements of a REDD+ registry that will guide the Sub-Committee REDD+ in developing the registry system. These elements are functions, principles, and components. For example, so far the team identified two main functions of the registry: (i) ensuring the quality of emission reductions; and (ii) facilitating the implementation framework. They also identified the following principles: functionality, transparency, efficacy, compatibility, security, and consistent with relevant registries. And finally, the team envisions two sequential components of the system: (i) a data base to register projects, programs and REDD+ actions; and (ii) a registry of transactions that uses serial-numbered units and a protocol to transfer units among holders. The team also identified five aspects to be considered in designing and operating a registry of transactions. These aspects are: (i) requirements and regulations of existing and emerging institutions and coordinating bodies; (ii) consistency with the agreed implementation framework for REDD+, which will</p>	<p>Item complete</p>

	<p>determine who is entitled to undertake emission reduction transactions; (iii) consistency of the registry with relevant registry systems, including the MRV system and the national forest information system; (iv) the possibility of using an international registry; and (v) the need of institutional capacity strengthening to ensure an effective design and implementation of the registry system. The team expects to refine the elements of the registry system as the REDD+ process advances.</p>	
<p>3. Provide update on efforts to reach out to the indigenous peoples organizations that are not yet part of the dialogue process</p>	<p>In the new version of the R-PP the team report about meetings carried out from April 17th to 21st between the National Confederation of Honduran Autochthonous Peoples (CONPAH1) and indigenous and Afro-descendent organizations that are not yet part of the REDD+ dialogue process. As a result, two new organizations expressed interested in joining the MIACC, but some other (4) remain reluctant. Some of these hesitant organizations manifested to be in agreement with some elements of the R-PP, but still doubtful about the political angle of the REDD+ process. CONPAH reaffirmed that the possibility for these organizations of joining the MIACC remains open; it also stressed the importance of carrying out a national assembly of indigenous and afro-descendent peoples. CONPAH presented minutes of these meetings to the Sub-committee REDD+ and they are included in annex 19 of the R-PP.</p>	<p>Item complete</p>
<p>4. Provide additional information about the linkages between FLEGT and REDD+, indicating potential complementary activities that would be carried out during REDD+ readiness preparation.</p>	<p>The Honduran government made it clear that taking advantage of the mutually complementarity between REDD+ and AVA FLEGT is of its interest; and so do is for the Indigenous and Afro-descendent Honduran Peoples. The team identified opportunities to ensure a positive combined effect of these two initiatives and for providing feedback. At early stages of AVA FLEGT negotiation, for instance, in defining the legality of timber the team will ensure keeping indigenous peoples' rights over the land and forest resources as central element- just as they learned from the REDD+ readiness preparation process.</p> <p>In addition, both AVA-FLEGT negotiation and REDD+ preparation should take advantage of processes and platforms established for each other. For example, the team identified that AVA FLEGT could use the REDD+ platform established to ensure broad stakeholder participation; and the grievance redress mechanisms designed for REDD+ should support the negotiation of AVA FLEGT. Likewise, REDD+ should use any process established for AVA-FLEGT implementation, as relevant. The Government will ensure that the country's legal and institutional framework analysis to</p>	<p>Item complete</p>

	<p>be undertaken for REDD+ preparation purposes inform both initiatives. Opportunities also exist at the implementation stages of both processes, including ensuring complementarity of both monitoring processes and consistency with the REDD+ safeguard system. The Government will target opportunities for collaboration between both initiatives.</p>	
<p>5. Revise budget with regard to the feedback and grievance redress mechanism to reflect the budget allocated through Resolution PC/Electronic/2012/1.</p>	<p>The Budget of the R-PP was revised both in Section 2c “REDD+ Implementation Framework” and Component 5 “Schedule and Budget” to reflect the addition of the feedback and grievance redress mechanism, as per Resolution PC/Electronic/2012/1.</p>	<p>Item complete</p>