REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING COUNTRY: Sudan PERIOD: [March-August 2015]

Background: the government of Sudan signed a contract with World Bank on March 2015 to receive the 3.8 millions from the FCPF (Forest Carbon Partnership Facility) for the implementation of readiness of REDD+ in Sudan, since that time the Forests national Corporation (FNC) started to specified office for the programme management Unit, formulate focal points in all states of Sudan and focal points for Geographical sectors, communicated with all stakeholders (formal and informal), the Minister of Environment formulated the Steering Committee. Also FNC formulated the technical advisory committee represented by all stockholders.

Now the structure of the framework is ready for implementation, the first meeting for the SC & TAC will held by the first of the next week (August 2015).

The launching of REDD+ in Sudan will be tacke place on Wednesday 2nd Sept. 2015.

Report preparation: Submitted country reports should draw upon the country M&E system for REDD + (component 6 of R-PP) and should be prepared in consultation with members of REDD task force or equivalent body. Inputs from stakeholders including IPs and CSOs should be integrated into national reporting, and divergent views indicative of lack of consensus on specific issues should be recorded in the country report.

Reporting schedule: It is expected that the annual progress country reporting will be submitted to the FMT by August 15th each year. The reporting should be based on a self-assessment of progress. An update of this country reporting will also be submitted by March 15th each year.

1. SUMMARY OF REPORT

This section should provide a short description of FCPF support in country (bullets on FCPF-financed activities only). Information should summarize progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period should also be provided (key bullets only).

SUMMARY:

- Support for REDD+ readiness management and institutional arrangements; 1) Set up and operation of the National REDD+ Management Unit. 2) Set up and operation of the National REDD+ Steering Committee, Technical Advisory Committee and REDD+ Technical Working Groups. 3) Strengthen sub-national REDD+ institutional structures. 4) Capacity building for REDD+ institutional arrangements. 5) Feedback and Grievance Redress Mechanism for REDD+. 6) Program monitoring and evaluation.
- 2- Support for national REDD+ Strategy (including Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment): 1) In-depth analysis of drivers of deforestation and forest degradation, including studies on policies, wood-based energy and land tenure and forest governance. 2) In-depth analysis of strategic options to address deforestation and forest degradation. 3) Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (SESA) and development of Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF). 4) Study on options for benefit-sharing related to REDD+.
- 3- Support for stakeholder engagement: 1) Consultation and participation for activities to be implemented under the FCPF grant. 2) Setting up and strengthening of civil society platforms.

4- Support for Monitoring, Reporting and Verification: 1) development of national forest inventory. 2) support for remote sensing 3) capacity building for forest monitoring activities.

2. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD

During this period the PMU implemented main activities related to support the: 1) staff orientation 2) renovation of offices. 3) support the capacity building for focal point. 4) preparation for the project launching 5) finalization of the procurement plan. 6) forecasting of the financial plan. The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention "does not apply – n/a".

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.2.B.i.*):

Source:	Amount provided:
Source:	Amount provided:
Source:	Amount provided:

Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of activities relevant to ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.2.B.i.*):

Source:	Amount provided:
Source:	Amount provided:
Source:	Amount provided:

Describe how stakeholders are participating and engaging in REDD+ decision making processes (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.A):

- 5- The implementation body of REDD+ in Sudan designed to engage the local people, farmers, pastoralists, gum Arabic associations (male associations, female associations and mixed association in such states) etc. in informal platform.
- 6- On the other hand the formal platform consist of ; representatives from; agriculture, range and pasture, water and irrigation, minerals, energy, land-use, animal resource and research centers. The focal point in each state responsible for coordination meetings, consultation and awareness of all formal and informal platform. The focal point work with terms of reference and directly linked with PMU to provide reports, minutes for all meetings,
- 7- Provide examples of how IPs and CSOs are represented in institutional arrangements for REDD at the national level.

Examples of stakeholder engagement platforms in country which meet regularly to discuss and provide inputs to the REDD+ readiness process (FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.):

Frequency: Water, energy, agriculture, land-use, minerals, range and pasture,					
Examples of resources made available to enable active participation of IPs , CSOs and local communities in national REDD+ readiness.					
Prepared terms of references to arrange the meetings, consolation of them in the process					

Number and type of policy reforms initiated, completed or underway complying to REDD+ standards, if any (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.B.):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are:

Underway: formulation of water and range & pasture policies

Completed: under preparing the terms of reference for the consultancy

<u>Please describe these policy reforms:</u> these policies should be started with pre-Advocacy and workshops to formulate new range & water policy. (under-way), these activities planned to start before the end of this year, and all activities will start after the launching on 2nd of Sept. 2015.

Design of national REDD+ Strategies addresses indicators for enhancement of livelihoods of local communities and for biodiversity conservation (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.*):

<u>Provide examples of how national REDD Strategies address livelihoods of local communities and biodiversity conservation.</u>

Our plan to address the livelihoods of local people will and already we started during the situation analysis of the strategy the consultation of the local people about the needs and priorities of them according to the types of species, concentration of the local people in the site and other issues should be considered depend on the divers of the deforestation. Also the strategy focusing on the non-wood forest products as the alternative for local people to increase their income generation. The strategy designed Nine options for the strategy included the biodiversity, local people and their livelihoods.

3. PROGRESS AT R-PP sub component level

3.1. REDD Readiness Progress

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (*FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.*): up to 300 words, if applicable

Progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:

- Establishment of implementation body structure
- Renovation of offices
- Hire the procurement and financial specialist
- The vehicles under process.
- PMU Staff
- Launching of the project under-way
- Capacity building for focal points . under-way (during the mission time 25/8 2/9/ 2015)

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)

Components	Sub-components	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
1. Readiness	1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes
Organization and Consultation	1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	Yes
2 DEDD. Chrotoger	2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Yes
2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation	2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
reputation	2c. Implementation Framework	Yes
	2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels		Yes
4. Monitoring Systems	4a. National Forest Monitoring System	Yes
for Forests and Safeguards	4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	Yes

Level of overall achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.b.):						
Grant (>3.4 million USD) <i>(FCPF M</i> <u>Planned Milestones</u> :	&E Framework 1.3.b.): Level of Achievement ¹ :	Pr de Pr de Pr de Pr de Pr de Pr de Pr re Pr Pr	gnificant progress rogressing well, further evelopment required urther development quired ot yet demonstrating rogress on Applicable			
		Please explain wh				

² The level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved RF grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale "Non Applicable" can be selected.

This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework

¹ Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement for an additional grant of up to \$5 million. For instance, under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

Degree of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component and sub-component (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.c.). Countries are expected to rate progress toward the implementation of R-PP sub-component only once a year, as part of the reporting submitted by August 15th each year

	Sub-component	Progress against a	annual targets	Tracking ³	
		Planned milestones	Achievements	(Please	select your light rating)
R-PP Component 1 – Readiness Organization and Consultation	Sub-Component 1a – National REDD+ Management Arrangements <u>Purpose</u> : setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies <u>Country Self-Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism			Image: state of the state of t	Significant progressProgressing well, further development requiredFurther development requiredNot yet demonstrating progressNon Applicablelain why:

³ The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets Track		Tracking ³	
	Planned milestones	Achievements	(Please	select your light rating)
Sub-Component 1b – Consultation, Participation, and Outreach			I	Significant progress
<u>Purpose</u> : broad consultation with and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+			1	Progressing well, further development required
programs, to ensure participation of different social groups,				Further development required
transparency and accountability of decision-making <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) participation and engagement of			8	Not yet demonstrating progress
key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv)			NA Please exp	Non Applicable
implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes				

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets			Tracking ³
		Planned milestones	Achievements	(Please	select your light rating)
R-PP Component 2 – REDD+ Strategy Preparation	Subcomponent 2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and GovernancePurpose: identification of key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable management of forests, and enhancement of forest carbon stocksCountry Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource right, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy			Image: Constraint of the second state of the second	Significant progress Progressing well, further development required Further development required Not yet demonstrating progress Non Applicable

lanned milestones	Achievements	(Please	e select your light rating Significant progress Progressing well, further development Further development Not yet demonstrating progress Non Applicable
			Progressing well, further developmentFurther developmentNot yet demonstrating progressNon Applicable
			Significant progres Progressing well, further development Further development
		8	Not yet demonstrating progress Non Applicable

	Sub-component	Progress against an	nual targets		Tracking ³
		Planned milestones	Achievements	(Please	select your light rating)
	Subcomponent 2d: Social and Environmental Impacts				Significant progress
	<u>Purpose</u> : Ensure compliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework			4	Progressing well, further development
	(ESMF) <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u>				Further development
	(i)) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues; (ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect			8	Not yet demonstrating progress
	to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework			N/A	Non Applicable
				Please exp	lain why:
suo	<u>R-PP Component 3</u> - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels				Significant progress
- Reference Emissions erence Levels	<u>Purpose</u> : Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL			4	Progressing well, further development required
kefere ence L	<u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii)				Further development
R-PP Component 3 – Reference E Level/Reference Levels	use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and			8	Not yet demonstrating progress
ompo Lev	consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines			N/A	Non Applicable
R-PP Co	guiaance ana guiaennes			And expla	in why:

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³	
		Planned milestones	Achievements	(Please	select your light rating)
	Subcomponent 4a: National Forest Monitoring System			I	Significant progress
	<u>Purpose</u> : Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to			4	Progressing well, further development required
lards	enhance the system over time				Further development
ınd Safegu	<u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii)			\otimes	Not yet demonstrating progress
ests a	institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests			N/A	Non Applicable
R-PP Component 4: Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards				And expla	iin why:
rring Sys	Subcomponent 4b : Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and				Significant progress
4: Monito	Safeguards <u>Purpose</u> : Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring			4	Progressing well, further development required
nent .	by the country <u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i)				Further development
PP Compo	identification of relevant non- carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii)			\mathbf{i}	Not yet demonstrating progress
R-]	monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii)			N/A	Non Applicable
	Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards			And expla	in why:

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage (FCPF M&E					
Framework 1.3.d.):	Rate	Tracking			
RF Grant - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements		Please select your rating: Image: Select your rating: Image: Up to 10% variance with plans Image: Select your rating: Image: Select your rating: Select your rating: Image: Select your rating: Select your ratin			

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.d.):				
	Rate	Tracking		
Total Budget in R-PP - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements (including other funding sources and FCPF Readiness Grant)		Please select your rating:Image: Colspan="2">Up to 10% variance with plansImage: Colspan="2">Image: Colspan="2">Up to 10% variance with plansImage: Colspan="2">Between 10-25% variance with plansImage: Colspan="2">Between 10-25% variance with plansImage: Colspan="2">Between 25-40% variance with plansImage: Colspan="2">More than 40% varianceImage: Colspan="2">Non Applicable		

3.2. Engagement of stakeholders within the approach to REDD +

Examples of actions/activities where IPs, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if				
relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.a.):				
Action/activity:	Describe IP, CSO, and local community participation:			

Number of IP and REDD country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):					
trained by FCPF training prog <u>Please list the training</u> <u>conducted</u> :	rams (FCPF M <u>Duration</u> <u>(# of days)</u>	I&E Framework 3.1.b.) # of participants # of men / # of women		Targets in terms of number of men and women to be trained by country to be defined Image: C	
			N/A	non applicable	

3.3. Knowledge sharing

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support:		
<u>Yes/No</u> :	Please provide the list of published REDD+ knowledge products, if any during	
	reporting period :	

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any:

Overall number by product:

<u># of Men:</u>

of Women:

 Have some experts of your country participated in any South-south learning activities? If yes, how many (men and women)?

 Yes/No:
 List the South-South learning activities:

 # of men:(IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives)

 # of women: (IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives)

4. ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND RISKS

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

It is expected that the country monitors any changes in the assumptions that underpin the logic of intervention of FCPF at the national level and other significant risks that may arise. This section should explain through a narrative any changes in the level of risk associated with the different assumptions, or describe new risks that may have emerged and have a significant bearing on the national work-planning with respect to FCPF support for the next year and beyond, along with the associated measures required to address this change.

5. MAIN LESSONS LEARNED

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learned since the beginning of the readiness process. It is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learned, analysis documents developed, and/or experiences made in dealing with issues of particular interest to REDD+.