**Indonesia and Nepal**

**Awareness and information dissemination**

**Mechanisms:**

* Structures/mechanisms have to be developed to ensure full and effective participation of indigenous peoples. This mechanism has to cover national and local level.
* Make use of existing institutions allow to access information for the indigenous peoples
* Establishing a long term ad hoc task force involving different stakeholders at different levels.
* There is a need for institutional reform or re-adjustment of the existing structures. If there is no any structures/mechanisms, there should be new structures/mechanisms
* Awareness raising, information dissemination and capacity building should go together

**Understanding the Issues, Language and Forms:**

* Localize the issues based on local context, link with community day to day life, to inform the community which will minimize the confusion among the communities
* Languages barrier and Translation into national languages
* Awareness raising for journalists (indigenous and non indigenous)on indigenous peoples issues and REDD+ (IPs and non IPs)
* Printed information does not reach all indigenous communities, focus to provide presentation of information in different form (Audio, Visual, Play etc)
* The issues is complicated – need for simplification

**Channels/Media**

* Make use of Mainstream media and non mainstream media
* SES public notification, how many peoples have access to PM
* Translation in local languages, community radios, TVs for effective information dissemination (different forms in different languages)
* SMS texting: citizen journalism, IPs can monitor, send the news through SMS together with government and police (not only in forestry also in mining, and other issues)
* IP has traditional institutions which can be used for awareness raising
* Inter-sector targeting different age groups and section like gender, elderly: School curriculum, teachers guide on climate change and REDD+

**Consultations**

Issue: IPs are kept in CSOs, NGOs and non forest peoples

* Conduct separate Consultation with IPOs at National and Sub National Levels
* FPIC must be applied as principles and guidance, it allows a proper consultation
* Developing FPIC mechanism
* Financial burden: Consultation processes need additional resources. Government lack of resources in conducting consultation. – Need financial support
* Build on existing mechanisms (if any) at local levels rather than new mechanisms.
* Reform and discuss with government for the pilot areas of same programme (FCPF and UNREDD), which should be flexible and dynamic to change the situation and areas.
* People may not have capacity for bottom up initiatives. IPs don’t have formal institution, they have informal institions guided by cultures, spirituality. For instance, in High Himalaya, policy reform and recognition of informal institution and building capacity of those institutions
* Capacity needs to be strengthened for government (local and sub-national), many of them don’t know the issues related to climate change and REDD+. So that they will respond the IP issues
* Re-emphasize the methods/processes of consultations enough time, space for the consultations
* Enough information should be given to consultation then IPs will be able to decide either yes or no

**Representation**

* Government should recognize the peoples at the ground who are facing the problem. Government must hear the voices of the peoples living at the ground
* Different consultations with different stakeholders and IPs shall have separate consultation,
* IP select their own representatives
* Need for Policy transformation to empower and recognize the role of *informal* institutions of IPs such as community forestry managed by shrines, gumbas.
* Indigenous women representation and participation, we need separate policy in gender for their representation