

REDD + ANNUAL COUNTRY PROGRESS REPORTING (with semi-annual update)

COUNTRY: [Peru]

PERIOD: [Sep 2014 - Aug 2015]

Background: This country reporting framework has been developed following the structure of the FCPF Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, its logical framework and Performance Measurement Framework (PMF), so as to facilitate and systematize the data analysis. The semi-annual country reporting should provide the FCPF's Facility Management Team (FMT) with indications of REDD+ countries' progress towards the achievement of their readiness activities and the implementation of their Emission Reductions programs overtime, in a way that data are easily consolidated and provide indications on the level of achievement of the FCPF output, outcome and impact indicators as defined in the FCPF M&E Framework.

Report preparation: Submitted country reports should draw upon the country M&E system for REDD + (component 6 of R-PP) and should be prepared in consultation with members of REDD task force or equivalent body. Inputs from stakeholders including IPs and CSOs should be integrated into national reporting, and divergent views indicative of lack of consensus on specific issues should be recorded in the country report.

Reporting schedule: It is expected that the annual progress country reporting will be submitted to the FMT by August 15th each year. The reporting should be based on a self-assessment of progress. An update of this country reporting will also be submitted by March 15th each year.

1. SUMMARY OF REPORT

This section should provide a short description of FCPF support in country (bullets on FCPF-financed activities only). Information should summarize progress, key achievements with a focus on higher level results and important issues/problems that arose during the reporting period. Highlights of next steps in following period should also be provided (key bullets only).

SUMMARY:

Activities supported by FCPF:

- The FCPF supports the following overall activities in Peru: i) REDD+ institutional arrangements (including the Stakeholders Engagement Plan), ii) REDD+ National strategy (including the Strategic environmental and social assessment/SESA, Environment and Social Management Framework/ESMF, an, Guidelines for REDD+ Action Plan), iii) National reference levels of forestry emissions (including a study on land use and land use change); and, iv) National Forest Monitoring System and Safeguards Information System.

Main developments and achievements:

- The country is preparing the regulation of the law of retribution of ecosystem services that will define the role of the national REDD+ authority. The country is preparing the National Strategy of Forest and Climate Change that will include the guidelines of REDD+ Action Plan.
- At the same time, the country is working in the construction of the national reference levels of forestry emissions from the Amazon region. Based on this information, the RPP will support the preparation of the official report to be submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention

on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

- *The country start the construction process of the National Strategy about forest and climate change, document that include the item of REDD+ for the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.*

Important issues and problems:

Issues:

- *Approval of the operating and procurement plan for project management.*
- *Incorporation of the first transfer of project resources to the unit responsible for implementation.*
- *Hiring the core team for the R-PP implementation.*
- *Requesting the first services to support the project implementation unit.*

Problems:

- *Delay in the institutional procedures for incorporation of funds into the budget of the implementation unit (the process was completed in the second week of April).*
- *Technical staff of the implementation unit has to divide their time between this project and other activities. .*
- *The implementation of the proposal for the new organization of the Ministry of the Environment has not started. This has conditioned the creation of the technical area to monitoring forest, which is an enabling condition for the allocation of project resources to such activity.*

Highlights for next period (Sep-Dec 2015):

- *Support the development of the final report of the forest reference emission levels for the Amazon area, to be submitted to the UNFCCC.*
- *Design stakeholder engagement plan for REDD+.*
- *Design the communication plan for REDD+.*
- *Modelling risk of deforestation linked to future forest emission scenarios.*
- *Strategic environmental and social assessment/SESA.*
- *Lessons learned on participatory processes.*
- *Study on land use change.*

2. MAIN ACHIEVEMENTS AND RESULTS DURING THE PERIOD

The section below should provide qualitative and quantitative data on the progress towards expected results along the following subsections. Information is to be provided cumulatively. If the information requested is not available or not relevant at the time of the reporting, mention “does not apply – n/a”.

Amount of non-FCPF investments received under R-PP process (<i>FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.2.B.i.</i>):	
<u>Source:</u> Gordon and Betty Moore	<u>Amount provided:</u> US\$ 2.01 million
<u>Source:</u> KFW	<u>Amount provided:</u> US\$ 3.98 million
<u>Source:</u> UNREDD	<u>Amount provided:</u> US\$ 0.96 million
Amount of non-FCPF investments received for implementation of activities relevant to ER Programs (e.g. FIP, bilateral donors, private sector), if relevant (<i>FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.2.B.i.</i>):	
<u>Source:</u> BID to FIP	<u>Amount provided:</u> US\$ 0.45 million

Describe how stakeholders are participating and engaging in REDD+ decision making processes (<i>FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.A.</i>):	
<p>The readiness process of REDD+ in Peru is developed with the participation of the public sector, civil society, indigenous peoples and private sector.</p> <p>The engagement of the different actors is occurring in the National Commission on Climate Change-NCCC (Supreme Resolution N° 359-93-RE) and the Regional and National REDD+ Roundtables.</p> <p>Under the NCCC there are Thematic Working Groups (TWG) that have the mandate to conduct research, provide scientific advice, generate specialized information and provide support to international negotiations on climate change. REDD+ is being addressed in the "Emissions reduction and low carbon growth" group.</p>	
Examples of stakeholder engagement platforms in country which meet regularly to discuss and provide inputs to the REDD+ readiness process (<i>FCPF M&E Framework 3.2.a.</i>):	
<p><u>Frequency:</u> The NCCC has a meeting one time per year.</p> <p>The TWG have meetings according to the requirements of the NCCC.</p>	

Examples of resources made available to enable active participation of IPs, CSOs and local communities in national REDD+ readiness.

Does not apply – n/a.

Number and type of policy reforms initiated, completed or underway complying to REDD+ standards, if any (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator I.3.B.):

Number of policy reforms during the reporting period that are:

Underway:

- Approval of specific regulations for the Law of retribution mechanisms of ecosystem services (Law N° 30215).
- Design of the National Strategy on forests and climate change.

Completed:

- Law of retribution mechanisms of ecosystem services (Law N° 30215).
- Updated National Strategy on Climate Change.
- National Forest Wildlife Policy.

Policy description:

- Law N° 30215 and rules.

The law will contribute effectively to the development of local, regional and national initiatives to reward actions that ensure the provision of ecosystem services, which generate economic, social and environmental benefits for the society. One of these mechanisms is REDD+.

- Updated National Strategy on Climate Change (NSCC).

The Strategy provides orientation in order to adapt the productive systems, social services and the population to the impacts of the climate change. It also incorporates approaches that contribute to achieving a satisfactory and sustainable development based on a low-carbon economy.

- National Forest Wildlife Policy (Supreme Decret N° 009-2013-MINAGRI)

The policy promotes the proper management of the forest and wildlife resources, to ensure their sustainable use, conservation, protection and enhancement. This will contribute to the continued provision of goods and services from forest and other vegetation ecosystems, and from wildlife, in agreement with the social, cultural, economic and environmental interests of the nation.

- National Strategy of Forests and Climate change.

This is a similar effort to the NSCC but with special to forests. The Strategy will define the main elements for the proper management, use and conservation of forests, addressing the main causes

of deforestation.
Design of national REDD+ Strategies addresses indicators for enhancement of livelihoods of local communities and for biodiversity conservation (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 3.B.):
The country is designing the National Strategy of Forests and Climate Change. This document includes indicators in mitigation and adaptation, with actions for enhancement of the livelihoods of local communities and for biodiversity conservation.

-3. PROGRESS AT R-PP sub component level

3.1. REDD Readiness Progress

As a synthesis of the following output level assessments, please briefly describe here the progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package (FCPF M&E Framework Indicator 1.A.): up to 300 words, if applicable
<u>Progress made during the reporting period in developing the country Readiness Package:</u>
<p>During the report period, the National Agency in charge made the base document for the design process of the National Strategy of Forests and Climate Change. This document includes measures to be taken to adapt forests to climate change, as well as those necessary to mitigate its effects. The National Report on Forest Emission Levels is also in progress, with focus in the Amazon area. The country took the services of an international consulting firm that is preparing the country context of forest emissions. This firm has also developed a model of medium and short-term deforestation, based on historical data, to determine future emissions.</p> <p>The country is also working in the determination of the land use (year 2011) and land use change (2011 to 2013).</p> <p>Another area of progress is the development of a national information system of safeguards in REDD initiatives. This system has been designed to meet the common approach of the FCPF and the Cancun safeguards.</p> <p>Finally, the Government of Peru has formed a multi sectorial working group on forest monitoring. This group is providing inputs for the implementation of the National Monitoring System, until the definite institutional arrangements are in place.</p>

Please indicate which of your country R-PP components and sub-components have received support from FCPF through the Readiness Preparation Grant (>3.4 million USD)		
Components	Sub-components	Support from FCPF (Yes/No)
1. Readiness Organization and Consultation	1a. National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Yes
	1b. Consultation, Participation, and Outreach	Yes
2. REDD+ Strategy Preparation	2a. Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Yes
	2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Yes
	2c. Implementation Framework	Yes

	2d. Social and Environmental Impacts	Yes
3. Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels		Yes
4. Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	4a. National Forest Monitoring System	Yes
	4b. Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	Yes

Level of overall achievement of planned milestones according to approved FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD) (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.b.):		
<u>Planned Milestones:</u>	<u>Level of Achievement¹:</u>	<u>Tracking²:</u>
National REDD+ Management Arrangements.	Progressing well	 Significant progress
Consultation, Participation, and Outreach.	Not yet demonstrating progress	 Progressing well, further development
Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers.	Progressing well	 Further development required
REDD+ Strategy Options.	Progressing well	 Not yet demonstrating progress
Implementation Framework.	Non Applicable	 Non Applicable
Social and Environmental Impacts.	Not yet demonstrating progress	
Reference Emissions Level.	Progressing well	

Please explain why:

¹ Countries are expected to provide data on the overall level of achievement of planned milestones as defined in their Readiness Preparation Grant Agreement, and, if applicable, on their Supplementary Grant Agreement for an additional grant of up to \$5 million. For instance, under their Preparation Readiness Grant Agreement (>3.4 million USD), Countries should provide data on (i) the support to the Coordination of the REDD+ Readiness Process and Multi-Stakeholder Consultations; (ii) the contribution to the Design of a National REDD+ Strategy; and (iii) the preparation of a National Reference Scenario for REDD+

² The level of achievement of planned milestones according to approved RF grant will be summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of an overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale "Non Applicable" can be selected.

This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework

Degree of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component and sub-component (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.c.).
Countries are expected to rate progress toward the implementation of R-PP sub-component only once a year, as part of the reporting submitted by August 15th each year

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ <i>(Please select your light rating)</i>
		Planned milestones	Achievements	

³ The level of achievement of planned milestones per R-PP component should be self-assessed and reported, as well as summarized through progress scores related to the synthesis of this overall achievement, qualitatively expressed on a four-color 'traffic light' scale and then briefly explained. In case the assessment is not applicable, a fifth color scale 'Non Applicable' can be selected. This 'traffic light' scale is based on the system contained in the R-Package Assessment Framework, The R-Package assessment criteria are included to assist countries identify, plan and track their readiness preparations progress with the core aspects and desired outcomes of readiness preparation activities as contained in R-Package Assessment Framework.

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ <i>(Please select your light rating)</i>		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
R-PP Component 1 – Readiness Organization and Consultation	<p>Sub-Component 1a – National REDD+ Management Arrangements</p> <p><i>Purpose: setting-up national readiness management arrangements to manage and coordinate the REDD-plus readiness activities whilst mainstreaming REDD-plus into broader strategies</i></p> <p><u>Country Self-Assessment Criteria:</u> <i>(i) accountability and transparency; (ii) operating mandate and budget; (iii) multi-sector coordination mechanisms and cross-sector collaboration; (iv) technical supervision capacity; (v) funds management capacity; (vi) feedback and grievance redress mechanism</i></p>	Final study for the national REDD institutions.	Structured preliminary ToR to start consultation with stakeholders.			<i>Significant progress</i>
						<i>Progressing well, further development required</i>
						<i>Further development</i>
					✓	<i>Not yet demonstrating progress</i>
						<i>Non Applicable</i>
				<p><i>Please explain why:</i></p> <p>The country is working in the rules of law about these item. Is not complete the national analysis for national REDD entity options.</p>		

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)																
	Planned milestones	Achievements																	
<p>Sub-Component 1b – Consultation, Participation, and Outreach</p> <p><i>Purpose: broad consultation with and participation of key stakeholders for future REDD+ programs, to ensure participation of different social groups, transparency and accountability of decision-making</i></p> <p><i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) participation and engagement of key stakeholders; (ii) consultation processes; (iii) information sharing and accessibility of information; (iv) implementation and public disclosure of consultation outcomes</i></p>	Stakeholder engagement plan. Include a communication plan about REDD actions.	Structured ToR and approval by IDB.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Significant progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Progressing well, further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>√</td> <td>Further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Not yet demonstrating progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Non Applicable</td> </tr> </table> <p>Please explain why:</p>			Significant progress			Progressing well, further development		√	Further development			Not yet demonstrating progress			Non Applicable	
		Significant progress																	
		Progressing well, further development																	
	√	Further development																	
		Not yet demonstrating progress																	
		Non Applicable																	

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
R-PP Component 2 – REDD+ Strategy Preparation	<p>Subcomponent 2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance</p> <p><i>Purpose: identification of key drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation, as well as activities concerning conservation, sustainable management of forests, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks</i></p> <p><u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> (i) assessment and analysis; (ii) prioritization of direct and indirect drivers/barriers to forest enhancement; (iii) links between drivers/barriers and REDD+ activities; (iv) actions plans to address natural resource right, land tenure, governance; (v) implications for forest law and policy</p>	National study in use and change land use.	<p>Complete the preliminary report about 15th amazon areas for 2011 land use.</p> <p>Complete the preliminary report about 4th amazon areas for 2011 -2013 change land use.</p>		√	Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development required
						Further development
						Not yet demonstrating progress
						Non Applicable
<p><i>Please explain why:</i></p> <p>The entity in charge, is going to complete the analysis about land use to 2011. Also is coming the next step about change land use for 2011 and 2013.</p>						

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)																
	Planned milestones	Achievements																	
<p>Subcomponent 2b: REDD+ Strategy Options</p> <p><i>Purpose: Develop a set of policies and programs for addressing the drivers of deforestation and/or forest degradation</i></p> <p><i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) selection and prioritization of REDD+ strategy options; (ii) feasibility assessment; (iii) implications for strategy options on existing sectorial policies.</i></p>	National Strategic about forest and climate change. Include the item of REDD.	Complete de base document about the strategy, ready to start the national consultation process. For these process, the Peruvians Government implemented a National Comity responsible for drafting the proposed strategy.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Significant progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>√</td> <td>Progressing well, further development required</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Not yet demonstrating progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Non Applicable</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Please explain why:</i></p>			Significant progress		√	Progressing well, further development required			Further development			Not yet demonstrating progress			Non Applicable	
		Significant progress																	
	√	Progressing well, further development required																	
		Further development																	
		Not yet demonstrating progress																	
		Non Applicable																	

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)																
	Planned milestones	Achievements																	
Subcomponent 2c: Implementation Framework <i>Purpose: Set out credible and transparent institutional, economic, legal and governance arrangements necessary to implement REDD+ strategy options</i> <i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) adoption and implementation of legislation/regulations; (ii) guidelines for implementation; (iii) benefit sharing mechanism; (iv) national REDD+ registry and system monitoring REDD+ activities</i>	Does not apply		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Significant progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Progressing well, further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Not yet demonstrating progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>✓</td> <td>Non Applicable</td> </tr> </table>			Significant progress			Progressing well, further development			Further development			Not yet demonstrating progress		✓	Non Applicable	
		Significant progress																	
		Progressing well, further development																	
		Further development																	
		Not yet demonstrating progress																	
	✓	Non Applicable																	
Please explain why:																			

Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)																
	Planned milestones	Achievements																	
<p>Subcomponent 2d: Social and Environmental Impacts</p> <p><i>Purpose: Ensure compliance with the Common Approach and prepare a country specific Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF)</i></p> <p><u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u></p> <p>(i) analysis of social and environmental safeguard issues;</p> <p>(ii) REDD+ strategy design with respect to impacts; (iii) Environmental and Social Management Framework</p>	Does not apply		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Significant progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Progressing well, further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Further development</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Not yet demonstrating progress</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>✓</td> <td>Non Applicable</td> </tr> </table> <p>Please explain why:</p>			Significant progress			Progressing well, further development			Further development			Not yet demonstrating progress		✓	Non Applicable	
		Significant progress																	
		Progressing well, further development																	
		Further development																	
		Not yet demonstrating progress																	
	✓	Non Applicable																	

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)	
		Planned milestones	Achievements		
R-PP Component 3 – Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	<p>R-PP Component 3 - Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels</p> <p><i>Purpose: Development of the general approach to establish a REL/RL</i></p> <p><i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) demonstration of methodology; (ii) use of historical data, and adjusted for national circumstances; (iii) technical feasibility of the methodological approach, and consistency with UNFCCC/IPCC guidance and guidelines</i></p>	Reference Emissions Level developed	Preliminary report about these by the international consultant company.		Significant progress
					Progressing well, further development required
					Further development
					Not yet demonstrating progress
					Non Applicable
And explain why:					
R-PP Component 4: Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards	<p>Subcomponent 4a: National Forest Monitoring System</p> <p><i>Purpose: Design and develop an operational forest monitoring system and describe the approach to enhance the system over time</i></p> <p><i>Country Self- Assessment Criteria: (i) documentation of monitoring approach; (ii) demonstration of early implementation; (iii) institutional arrangements and capacities- Forests</i></p>	Final study to define the national entity to conduct the monitoring of forest cover.	General guidelines for the study. ToR in development.		Significant progress
					Progressing well, further development
					Further development
					Not yet demonstrating progress
					Non Applicable
And explain why:					

	Sub-component	Progress against annual targets		Tracking ³ (Please select your light rating)		
		Planned milestones	Achievements			
	<p>Subcomponent 4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards</p> <p><i>Purpose: Specify the non-carbon aspects prioritized for monitoring by the country</i></p> <p><u>Country Self- Assessment Criteria:</u> <i>(i) identification of relevant non-carbon aspects, and social and environmental issues; (ii) monitoring, reporting and information sharing; (iii) Institutional arrangements and capacities – Safeguards</i></p>	National safeguard information systems designed.	Base document developed and shared with key stakeholders to be improved.			Significant progress
						Progressing well, further development
					✓	Further development required
						Not yet demonstrating progress
						Non Applicable
				And explain why:		

Disbursement rate of FCPF-financed Readiness Fund Grant (>3.4 million USD), in percentage (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.d.):																	
	Rate	Tracking															
RF Grant - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements	10%	<p><i>Please select your rating:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>More than 40% variance</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Non Applicable</i></td> </tr> </table>			<i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i>			<i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i>			<i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i>			<i>More than 40% variance</i>			<i>Non Applicable</i>
		<i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>More than 40% variance</i>															
		<i>Non Applicable</i>															

Disbursement rate of Total R-PP Budget in percentage (FCPF M&E Framework 1.3.d.):																	
	Rate	Tracking															
Total Budget in R-PP - disbursement rate vs. planned disbursements (including other funding sources and FCPF Readiness Grant)	10%	<p><i>Please select your rating:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>More than 40% variance</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>Non Applicable</i></td> </tr> </table>			<i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i>			<i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i>			<i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i>			<i>More than 40% variance</i>			<i>Non Applicable</i>
		<i>Up to 10% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>Between 10-25% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>Between 25-40% variance with plans</i>															
		<i>More than 40% variance</i>															
		<i>Non Applicable</i>															

3.2. Engagement of stakeholders within the approach to REDD +

Examples of actions/activities where IPs, CSOs, and local communities participate actively, if relevant (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.a.):	
Action/activity:	Describe IP, CSO, and local community participation:
	Not apply.

Number of IP and REDD country CSO representatives (men/women) having been successfully trained by FCPF training programs (FCPF M&E Framework 3.1.b.):				
<u>Please list the training conducted:</u>	<u>Duration (# of days)</u>	# of participants # of men / # of women		<i>Targets in terms of number of men and women to be trained by country to be</i>
				
				
				
				√ Non Applicable

3.3. Knowledge sharing

Has your country developed and published REDD+ knowledge products with FCPF support:	
<u>Yes/No:</u> No	<u>Please provide the list of published REDD+ knowledge products, if any during reporting period :</u>

How many people have been reached by these knowledge products, if any:	
<u>Overall number by product:</u>	
<u># of Men:</u>	
<u># of Women:</u>	

Have some experts of your country participated in any South-south learning activities? If yes, how many (men and women)?		
<u>Yes/No:</u> No	<u>List the South-South learning activities:</u>	<u># of men:</u> (IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives) <u># of women:</u> (IP/CSO representatives, private sector representatives)

4. ISSUES, CHALLENGES AND RISKS

This section should present any problems, difficulties or constraints faced by the country in making progress towards the intended REDD+ results (outputs, outcomes and possible impacts), the main causes and their expected effect on the work plan. Actions that have been taken to overcome or manage these constraints/flaws/problems identified should be stated. Each problem/constraint should be stated as a separate point, along with associated proposed changes in work planning for the next six month/year to address it, as relevant.

It is expected that the country monitors any changes in the assumptions that underpin the logic of intervention of FCPF at the national level and other significant risks that may arise. This section should explain through a narrative any changes in the level of risk associated with the different assumptions, or describe new risks that may have emerged and have a significant bearing on the national work-planning with respect to FCPF support for the next year and beyond, along with the associated measures required to address this change.

- The country have a delay at the star of the project, because the entities include in the steering committee failed to accredit representatives.
- The formalities to form the coordinating unit of the project were completed in April this year, hiring professionals for the project.
- Incorporating funds from cooperation within the projected implementation unit were completed during the month of April 2015. From this moment, it could begin the process of project implementation.
- Some of the activities planned in the original project document had been developed by the Ministry of Environment, because the original project document was prepared in advance. It was necessary to identify which of the activities of the RPP original document would worked with the technical cooperation of the FCPF.

5. MAIN LESSONS LEARNED

This section should be used to provide information on important lessons learned since the beginning of the readiness process. It is expected that this section will be fairly substantial, making reference to different lessons learned, analysis documents developed, and/or experiences made in dealing with issues of particular interest to REDD+.

- Important to make a proper selection of the entities, public or private, to be represented on the steering committee. Because during project implementation, could generate significant omissions.
- It would be helpful to have a study of progress in the implementation of REDD in the country, where progress with different cooperating sources is consolidated. This will simplify the application process and allocation of resources for technical assistance.
- Important to select an executing agency of the project that has enough experience in the implementation of projects of international technical cooperation.