

Progress in R-PP preparation in Nepal

KRISHNA PRASAD ACHARYA

REDD-Forestry and Climate Change Cell
Ministry of Forests and Soil Conservation
Kathmandu, Nepal



REDD in Nepal

- Growing concerns and increasing interest
- Significant proportion of land under forest cover
- REDD potential is well Internalized by the Government
- Co-benefits- carbon or other environmental services
- Readiness Grant Agreement signed
- Higher expectation at all levels

National Institutional mechanism for REDD



Apex Body

Chaired By Hon. Forest Minister

Include 9 ministries and NGO/
Civil society representatives

REDD-WG

Chaired by Secretary, MoFSC

Include eight members from Government, IPs,
donor and civil society organizations

REDD- Cell

Headed by Joint secretary

Two Under Secretary and support staff



Institutional Structure

Apex body: Chaired by Hon Minister, MoFSC

Members

- National Planning Commission
- Ministry of Environment
- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Tourism
- Ministry of Water Resources
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Land Reform and Management
- Ministry of Industries

Institutional Structure....

- **Working group:** Chair, Secretary, MoFSC
- One representative members from
 - Department of Forests
 - Department of Forest Research and Survey
 - Federation of Community Forest Users, (FECOFUN)
 - NGO
 - Nepalese Federation of Indigenous Nationalities
 - Donor/project representative : 2
 - Member secretary: REDD Cell Chief

Component 1b




- FCPF project seen as an integral part of the Forest Planning process
- Consultation and participation with wider stakeholders
- Consortium including IPs, forest users federations, *Dalit* association, women, CSOs and professionals
- Emphasis on inclusive bottom up planning process
- REDD building on existing community based resource management approaches

Issues on R-PP






















- Generally considered as improvement compared to previous version (simpler and more logical order).
- Still some confusion about the expectation of the R-PP
 - Roadmap for future work or reporting of completed work?
 - Guidelines more focussed on activities instead of design of roadmap.
 - What type of Benefit Sharing Mechanism will be followed in future

Issues on R-PP

- 
- Understanding forest degradation
 - Reference scenario especially on forest degradation
 - Type of baseline and forest inventory needed
 - Type of Methodology needed
 - Affordable monitoring system
 - Different vegetation and ecological regions within Nepal and data availability
 - Lowering the transaction costs

R-PP progress

Compt.	ToRs	Work status	Work to be completed
1A			
1B			20 th April, 2010
2A		 (1 st Nov)	13 th Dec, 2009
2B			28 Feb, 2010
2C			30 Jan, 2010
2D			30 th Jan, 2010
3			15 th April, 2010
4			20 th April, 2010
5			20 th April, 2010
6			20 th April, 2010